



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

124

CRM-M-62872-2025
Decided on : 24.03.2026

Kuldeep Jaryal alias Rishu . . . Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and another . . . Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: Mr. Sunil Agnihotri, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed here-under:-

Name & age of Petitioner (s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
Kuldeep Jaryal alias Rishu, aged about 37 years	282	11.10.2025	318(4) of BNS, 2023 and Section 13 of Punjab Travel Professional (Regulation) Act, 2014	Dinanagar	Gurdaspur

2. In the present case, on 04.12.2025, following order was passed by this Court:-

- “2. Learned State counsel has filed status report dated 02.12.2025 in the Court today and the same is taken on record.
3. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Baldev Singh, submits that concerned SHO has forwarded the intimation regarding the pendency of present petition to respondent No.2; however, no one has appeared on his behalf.
4. On the other hand, counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has already expressed his willingness to resolve the dispute



amicably.

5. *List again on 19.02.2026.*

6. *Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation as and when required to do so by the Investigating Agency. In the event of his arrest, the petitioner shall be released on ad-interim bail, subject to his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by all the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.).*

7. *Besides, it is directed that petitioner would hand over his passport to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if he possesses. Otherwise, would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that he does not possess any passport.*

It is also directed that before leaving country any time during trial, petitioner would seek prior permission of the Court.

8. *Let respondent No.2 be served once again through dasti process also.”*

3. Continuing his submissions, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in compliance of the order dated 04.12.2025, passed by this Court, petitioner has joined the investigation, and has fully co-operated. Therefore, he prays for confirmation of the said interim anticipatory bail order.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions, confirms the said averment made by counsel for the petitioner of joining the investigation on 21.03.2026 by the petitioner, and submits that as of now, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required for the purpose of investigation.

5. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

6. Since, petitioner has already joined the investigation and custodial interrogation is no more required; ad-interim bail order dated 04.12.2025, passed by this Court is hereby made **absolute**.



However, petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when required to do so and abide by all the terms and conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

7. Accordingly, petition stands **disposed of**, accordingly.

8. However, present order would be subject to the submission of passport of the petitioner to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if he possesses, within a period of one week from today. Otherwise, he would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that he does not possess any passport.

It is clarified that in case, aforesaid condition is not complied with, this order would be considered as *non est* automatically.

Pending misc. application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

March 24, 2026

J.Ram

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No