



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

322

CRM-M-64475-2024

SAHIL TANWAR

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANR

.....RESPONDENTS

DATE OF DECISION: 19.03.2026

DATE OF UPLOADING:19.03.2026

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: - Mr. G.S. Bedi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Kumar Grewal, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. K.S. Dargan, Advocate for respondent No.2.

.....

SUMEET GOEL, J (ORAL)

The substantive prayer made in the petition reads as follows -

“It is most humbly prayed that the present Petition may kindly be allowed and FIR No. 42 dated 25.01.2024 (Annexure P-1) u/Ss. 364-A, 386, 506, 34 of IPC, registered at P.S. Old Faridabad, Distt. Faridabad, Haryana and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom be quashed inter-alia on the ground that the Complainant has sworn an Affidavit dated 16.03.2024 (Annexure P-3), regarding the non-involvement of the Petitioner in aforementioned FIR and on the ground that no offence u/s 364-A, 386, 506 and 34 IPC is made out against the Petitioner;”

It is not in dispute before this Court that out of total 3 accused, only the petitioner-Sahil Tanwar has come forward by way of instant compromise quashing petition. In view of dicta of judgment of a Division Bench of this Court in ***CRM-M No.48043 of 2023, titled Rakesh Das v. State of Haryana and another***, decided on 12.11.2024, the present

petition is a partial compromise quashing petition which cannot be entertained; the same is, accordingly, dismissed.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

19.03.2026
Jatin

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No