

2026:PHHC:001415



214

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-63135-2025

Manjit Kaur and another

....Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

Date of decision: January 12, 2026

Date of Uploading: January 12, 2026

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Ms. Rubi Khokhar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Hemant Aggarwal, AAG Punjab.

SUMEET GOEL, J. (ORAL)

Present petition has been filed under Section 482 of the
Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') for grant of
concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in case FIR No.227 dated
24.09.2025, under Sections 115(2), 117(2), 118(1), 118(2), 351(3) & 3(5) of
the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, registered at Police Station Sadar Tarn
Taran, District Tarn Taran.

2. On 13.11.2025, the following order was passed:

*“Apprehending their arrest in FIR No.227 dated 24.09.2025
registered for offences punishable under Sections 115(2), 117(2), 118(1),
118(2), 351(3), 3(5) of BNS 2023 at Police Station Sadar Tarn Taran,
District Tarn Taran; the petitioners have preferred this petition under
Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking pre-
arrest bail.*

*Counsel for the petitioners, inter alia, contends that petitioner No.1
is a lady aged 76 years, who has not been attributed any specific role;
petitioner No.2 is attributed injury on the index finger of the injured-side;
petitioners have been falsely implicated into the FIR in question on
account of an impending rivalry; case in hand is one of version and cross-*

version & the petitioners are willing to join investigation and cooperate therein

Notice of motion.

On the strength of advance notice; Mr. Amit Kumar Goyal, Addl. AG, Punjab has entered appearance on behalf of the respondent-State of Punjab.

Adjourned to 15.12.2025.

The petitioners are directed to appear before the Investigating Officer on 19.11.2025 at 11:00 A.M. in concerned Police Station and join investigation. In the event of arrest, the petitioners shall be released on interim bail subject to furnishing personal/surety bond(s) to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. As and when further called by Investigating Officer, the petitioners shall join the investigation. They shall abide by the condition(s) enumerated under Section 482(2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.”

3. Learned State counsel (on instructions) has stated that pursuant to the order dated 13.11.2025, the petitioners have indeed joined investigation, but their custodial interrogation is required for recovery of one *sota* (stick) and *sariya* (iron rod).

4. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and upon perusal of the record, especially in view of the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and their custodial interrogation being sought only for recovery of alleged weapons, the interim order dated 13.11.2025 passed by this Court is made absolute, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

5. Petition stands allowed, accordingly.

6. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioners indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

7. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioners violate any condition stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 or upon showing any other sufficient cause.

8. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not

be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.
9. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

January 12, 2026
mahavir

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No