



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

225

CRM-M-63038-2025

Date of Decision : 03.02.2026

ROHIT

...Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE AARADHNA SAWHNEY

Present: None for the petitioner.
Mr. Vishal Singh, AAG, Haryana.

AARADHNA SAWHNEY, J. (ORAL)

1. This petition for grant of anticipatory bail has been filed by petitioner, a co-accused in case bearing FIR No.239 dated 03.08.2025 registered against him at Police Station IMT Rohtak, District Rohtak, u/s 21(b) of NDPS Act (Sections 27(a), 29 of NDPS Act added later on).
2. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Anil Kumar, states that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not needed for further investigation.
3. On 03.12.2025, following order was passed.

“Petitioner, an accused in case FIR No.239 dated 03.08.2025 registered against him, under Sections 21(b) of the NDPS Act (Sections 27(a)/29 added later on), at Police Station IMT Rohtak, District Rohtak, has filed the petition for grant of pre-arrest bail under Section 482 of BNSS.

On the last date of hearing, notice of motion was issued. In pursuance thereof, status report dated 28.11.2025 by way of affidavit of Mr. Sashi Sekhar, IPS, Assistant Commissioner of Police, (HQ), Rohtak, has been filed. The same is taken on record. In para 3 thereof, the role of the petitioner has been highlighted as being the person, who had



supplied the contraband. Undoubtedly, petitioner was nominated on a string of disclosure statements. This disclosure statement is not admissible in evidence, being confessional in nature made before the police authorities, in view of Section 25 of the Indian Evidence Act. Further the falsity of the case set up by the prosecution is apparent from the fact that despite the alleged recovery of contraband was effected from busy public place but no efforts were made to join any independent person as a witness to the case proceedings.

Heard.

In view of the submission advanced by learned counsel for the petitioner, but without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the petitioner is hereby directed to join investigation within seven days from today and co-operate in the same. In the event of the arrest of the petitioner, he shall be released on interim bail on his furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. He shall also abide by conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) BNSS.

Adjourned to 28.01.2026.”

- 4. Keeping in view the fact that petitioner has joined the investigation, interim bail granted vide order dated 03.12.2025 is hereby confirmed, subject to conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) BNSS. Further the petitioner is directed to join investigation as and when required in future by way of written notice for such purpose to be served by Investigating Officer of this case upon the petitioner; he will not tamper with the evidence nor will influence the witnesses and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.
- 5. The petition stands allowed.
- 6. Pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(AARADHNA SAWHNEY)
JUDGE

03.02.2026
Nisha Yadav

<i>Whether Speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>