



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

125

CRM-M-62399-2025
Date of decision: 01.04.2026

SEETAPetitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANARespondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present: - Ms. Sakshi Khera, Advocate
for the petitioner.
(Through Video Conferencing).

Ms. Chhavi Sharma, Asstt. A.G. Haryana assisted by
ASI Man Singh.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. The instant first petition has been filed under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, for the grant of regular bail in case bearing FIR No. 309 dated 29.03.2024, registered under Section(s) 306 and 201 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Palla, Faridabad.
2. The FIR in the present case has been registered on the statement of Parmod Kumar, resident of House No. 02, Street No.8, Surya Vihar Part-3, Sehatpur, Faridabad who stated that he had arranged the marriage of his son, Sachin, with Seeta daughter of Parshuram, resident of village Essepur, Raghurampur, Police Station Bangarmau. District, Unnav, Uttar Pradesh. It

is further averred that approximately one month prior to the scheduled marriage, Sachin began exhibiting signs of anxiety, however, despite repeated inquiries, he did not disclose the reason for the same. On 5th October 2023, Sachin is stated to have committed suicide by hanging. It is submitted that following inquiry by the police authorities, the last rites of the deceased were performed. Subsequently, the complainant discovered a voice recording on the mobile phone of the deceased, wherein Sachin stated that Seeta had deceived her parents and that she, along with one Nuren, had conspired against him. It was further stated that in the voice recording that Nuren and his family had threatened to kill the deceased and that, upon his visit to the village, he was confronted and threatened at gunpoint. It was also stated in the recording that Seeta had orchestrated such acts and had provided information regarding his movements. It was also alleged in the said recording that the deceased expressed apprehension that Seeta intended to have him killed through third parties, while avoiding suspicion. The deceased declared that he was taking his own life so that no other person would be blamed and that his parents were not at fault. The deceased further attributed responsibility for his actions to Seeta, alleging her conduct and involvement with other persons. On the basis of the aforesaid voice recording, the complainant arrested that his son was driven to commit suicide due to instigation and conduct of Seeta and sought action against her.

3. Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner contends that the deceased Sachin and the petitioner-Seeta were involved in a love affair and wanted to get married, but the father of deceased was against their marriage. Despite the same, the deceased wanted to marry the petitioner

herein and even the marriage invitation card had been distributed. It is submitted that the father of the deceased raised an issue regarding the arrangements of the marriage and wanted the same to be lavish as a result whereof, the marriage could not be solemnized. The petitioner, however, continued to remain in touch with Sachin. It is contended that for the said reason, the relationship between deceased and his father were estranged and the deceased was also given beatings by his father. Counsel submits that the petitioner decided to disassociate herself of the relationship and move further in life but was being persistently requested by the deceased and that certain heated arguments had also taken place between the petitioner as well as the deceased but the same were contextual and not intended to provoke or abet the deceased to commit suicide. It is submitted that the petitioner is in custody since 19.09.2025 and has no criminal antecedents. The investigation in the case is complete, the charge sheet has been filed and the charges have been framed but no evidence has been recorded so far. Since, there are 18 witnesses to be examined, thus, the conclusion of the trial is likely to take a long time.

4. Learned State Counsel, however, contend that the transcript of the voice recording shows that the deceased seems to have given an expression that the petitioner would not *be held responsible* in case he commits suicide. Rest of the contents are, however, not disputed. It is also not disputed that the petitioner has no criminal antecedents.

5. I have heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respective parties and have gone through the documents appended alongwith the present petition as well as the transcription shown by the State Counsel.

6. Having heard the Counsel for the parties and without commenting on the merits of the present case and taking into consideration the nature of allegations levelled, the earlier history including relationship of the petitioner with the deceased and its subsequent breakdown and the petitioner intending to move on in her life and the inter se estrange relationship as a result thereof, coupled with the stage of trial, the period of custody already undergone by the petitioner as well as her clean antecedents, I deem it appropriate to enlarge the petitioner on regular bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

7. The instant petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on her furnishing requisite bail bond/surety bond to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

8. It is made clear that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witnesses in any manner directly or indirectly.

9. The observation made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case and the Trial Court shall decide the case on the basis of available material.

APRIL 01, 2026

Vishal Sharma

**(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No