

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

265

2026 PHHC 074578



CRM-M-62510-2025 (O&M).
Date of decision: 12.05.2026.

RAJENDRA SINGH @ RAJENDRA JHURIA

...Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

PRESENT Mr. Shubham Budania, Advocate,
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Onkar Singh Wahla, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Ranvijay Singh, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

Seeking quashing of order dated 26.05.2025 passed by the
Judicial Magistrate First Class, Sirsa in Criminal Complaint bearing
No.NACT/356/2023 dated 23.02.2023 titled as "Ravinder Singh Versus
Rajendra Singh @ Rajendra Jhuria" under Section 138 of Negotiable
Instruments Act, whereby the petitioner has been declared a proclaimed
person, the instant petition has been filed.

2 Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the matter has been amicably resolved between the petitioner and respondent No.2-complainant vide settlement dated 21.08.2025 (Annexure P-3) and the main case i.e. Criminal Complaint bearing No.NACT/356/2023 dated 23.02.2023 titled as "Ravinder Singh Versus Rajendra Singh @ Rajendra Jhuria" filed under Section 138 of Negotiable Instruments Act, already stands dismissed as withdrawn vide order dated 21.08.2025. The order dated 21.08.2025 reads as under: –

“File taken up today as an application for permission to withdraw the complaint has been moved on behalf of complainant. Let, file be called from record room. File received from record room. Let file be restored to its original number.

Complainant has appeared before the court and has made a statement that as per compromise Ex.Cl the matter has been compromised with the accused. As per compromise, he does not want to proceed with the present complainant and prayed this court to dismiss the present complaint as withdrawn and consign it to the records.

In view of the statement of complainant, the present complaint stands dismissed as withdrawn. File after due completion and registration be consigned to records.”

3 Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that since the main complaint itself stands dismissed as withdrawn, the impugned order dated 26.05.2025 passed by the Judicial Magistrate First Class, Sirsa whereby the petitioner has been declared a proclaimed person deserves to be quashed.

4 Mr. Ranvijay Singh, Advocate, enters appearance and files power of attorney on behalf of respondent No.2-complainant. He does not dispute that the main complaint already stands withdrawn in view of the settlement dated 21.08.2025, hence, he has no objection in case the impugned order dated 26.05.2025 passed by the Judicial Magistrate First Class, Sirsa whereby the petitioner has been declared a proclaimed person, is quashed.

5 In view of above, present petition is allowed. The order dated 26.05.2025 passed by the Judicial Magistrate First Class, Sirsa whereby the petitioner has been declared a proclaimed person, is accordingly quashed.

May 12, 2026.
raj arora

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No