



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

208

CRM-M-62150-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision: 12.02.2026

Dharamjit Singh alias Kaku

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. N.S. Lucky, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Manipal Singh Atwal, DAG Punjab

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 BNSS for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.225 dated 24.09.2025, registered under Section 61 of Punjab Excise Act, at Police Station Sadar Tarn Taran, District Tarn Taran.

2. On 22.12.2025, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Short reply by way of affidavit of the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Khadoor Sahib, Camp at Goindwal Sahib, District Tarn Taran, filed in the Court, is taken on record.

Learned counsel inter alia contends that the petitioner, 72-year-old, has been falsely implicated in the present case. There is non-compliance of Section 103(4) BNSS as the alleged recovery is stated to have been made from the house of the petitioner which was lying closed, however, there was no independent witness and no videography has also been made, besides there being no search warrant was obtained by the Investigating Officer which also makes the alleged recovery itself doubtful. There is no material to connect him with the present case. He is not involved in any other similar case; ready and willing to join the investigation as and when required by the investigating agency and will cooperate.

Adjourned to 12.02.2026.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation on or before 09.01.2026. In the event of his arrest, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction

of the Arresting Officer, subject to compliance of conditions as enshrined under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

However, it is clarified that if the petitioner does not join and cooperate with the Investigating Agency as required by the Arresting/Investigating Officer, this interim order shall be deemed to have been vacated.”

3. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the afore-mentioned order, the petitioner has not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, he shall make himself available without demur.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Rajpal Singh affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioner and cooperating with the investigating agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 22.12.2025 granting interim bail to him, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 482(2) BNSS.

6. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to him.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

12.02.2026

M.Kamra

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No