



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

209

CRWP-11866-2025

VISHAL AND ANOTHER

.....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF UT CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

DATE OF DECISION: 07.03.2026

DATE OF UPLOADING:07.03.2026

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: - Ms. Priya Sahoo, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Tapan Masta, Addl. P.P., U.T., Chandigarh.

.....

SUMEET GOEL, J (ORAL)

Learned Additional P.P., U.T. Chandigarh has submitted (on instructions) that the petitioners are not residing at the address mentioned in the amended memo of parties.

At this juncture, learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that she has no instructions in the matter.

It appears that the petitioners have lost interest in pursuing the petition in hand.

Ergo, dismissed for non-prosecution.

Liberty is reserved in favour of the petitioners to seek recall of this order on showing sufficient cause.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

07.03.2026

Jatin

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No