

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-61927-2025
Decided on: 23.03.2026

.....Petitioner

Versus

.....Respondent

Present: Mr. Satpal Sachdeva, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manjinder Singh Bhullar, DAG Punjab

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of FIR No.194, dated 24.08.2025, under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 351(1), 351(3), 191(3), 190 of BNS, 2023, registered at Police Station City Tarn Taran, District Tarn Taran.

2. On 04.11.2025, following order was passed:

“(i) *** *** *** ***

(ii) *Learned counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that co-accused of the petitioner, namely; Praveen alias Parveen, has already been granted concession of ad-interim bail vide order dated 30.10.2025, passed in CRM-M-60257-2025, titled as, “Praveen alias Parveen v. State of Punjab” (Annexure P-3), and said petition is now posted for its hearing on 20.01.2026.*

It is further submitted that a cross-case has also been registered against the complainant party, vide GD No. 30, dated

**CRM-M-61927-2025**

26.08.2025, under Sections 333/115(2)/324(4)(5), 191(3)/190 of BNS, 2023, registered at P.S. City Tarn Taran, District Tarn Taran. The only allegation against the petitioner is that of raising a lalkara, and she has not been accused of causing any injury to anyone. Moreover, petitioner is also ready to join investigation, if protected from arrest. Thus, he prays for grant of concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in the present case.

(iii) Notice of motion.

(iv) On advance notice, learned State counsel puts in appearance on behalf of the respondent – State, and seeks some time to respond to the submissions addressed by learned counsel opposite, after seeking instructions. And, in case of necessity, to file status report by verifying the submissions addressed by the petitioner's counsel.

(v) Adjourned to 20.01.2026.

(vi) In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation as and when required to do so by the Investigating Agency. In the event of his arrest, the petitioner shall be released on ad-interim bail, subject to his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer. The petitioner shall also be abide by all the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.).

(vii) Besides, it is directed that petitioner would hand over his passport to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if he possesses. Otherwise, would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that he does not possess any passport.

It is also directed that before leaving country any time during trial, petitioner would seek prior permission of the Court.

(viii) To be heard along with CRM-M-60257-2025. ”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in pursuance to the directions issued by this Court vide order dated 04.11.2025, the petitioner has joined investigation.

**CRM-M-61927-2025**

4. Learned State counsel on instructions, confirms the said averment made by counsel for the petitioner of joining the investigation by the petitioner, and submits that as of now, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required for the purpose of investigation.

5. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

6. Since, petitioner has already joined the investigation and custodial interrogation is no more required; ad-interim bail order dated 04.11.2025 passed by this Court is hereby made absolute. Accordingly, present petition is allowed.

7. The petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when required to do so and abide by all the terms and conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

8. However, present order would be subject to the submission of her passport to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if she possesses, within a period of one week from today. Otherwise, she would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that she does not possess any passport.

9. It is clarified that in case, aforesaid condition is not complied with, this order would be considered as *non est* automatically.

23.03.2026

reena

**(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE**

Whether Speaking/Reasoned:

Whether Reportable:

YES/NO**~~YES~~/NO**