

S. No.212

2026:PHHC:001130



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-62123 of 2025

Date of Decision:09.01.2026

Anchal Sharma

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR

Present:- Mr. J.S. Jaidka, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Gorav Kathuria, DAG, Punjab.

Yashvir Singh Rathor, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in this petition under Section 482 of Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 is for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.162 dated 27.09.2025 registered under Sections 115(2), 126(2), 191(3), 351(3), 324(4) and 305 of BNS, 2023 registered at Police Station Haibowal, District Ludhiana.

2. Status report by way of an affidavit of Shri Jatinder Pal Singh, PPS, Assistant Commissioner of Police, West, Ludhian has been filed on behalf of the respondent- State and the same is taken on record.

3. On 07.11.2025, following order was passed by this Court:-

“1. Prayer in this petition under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 is for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.162, dated 27.09.2025, under Sections 115(2), 126(2), 191(3), 351(3), 324(4) and 305 of BNS, 2023, registered at Police Station Haibowal, District Ludhiana.

2. Notice of motion.

3. Mr. Jatinder Pal Singh, Sr. DAG, Punjab. accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. The parties have been heard and material on file has been perused.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the FIR in question has been lodged by her mother-in-law, as a counter-blast to the petition for maintenance instituted by petitioner against her husband. Even as per allegations in the FIR, she has suffered simple injuries. Learned counsel further contended that petitioner is residing in her matrimonial home, where the alleged incident had taken place and false allegations with regard to commission of theft of 05 grams gold have been levelled to make it a graver offence. Learned counsel next contended that petitioner is ready to join the investigation and to abide by the conditions that may be imposed by the Court and benefit of anticipatory bail be extended in favour of the petitioner.

5. On the other hand, learned State counsel has opposed the bail and argued that petitioner does not deserve the concession of bail in view of the gravity of the offence.

6. Adjourned to **24.12.2025** for filing status report. Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and in the event of her arrest, she shall be admitted to ad-interim bail on furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer, subject to the following conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of the BNSS [erstwhile Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.]:-

i) that the petitioner shall make herself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;



- ii) that the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;
- iii) that the petitioner shall not leave India without the prior permission of the Court;
- iv) such other condition as may be imposed under sub-section (3) of Section 480, as if the bail were granted under that section.”

4. Today, learned State counsel has informed this Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation, in compliance of the order dated 07.11.2025 and is no longer required for further investigation.

5. In view of the aforesaid, the order dated 07.11.2025, whereby the petitioner was granted interim anticipatory bail, is hereby made absolute. However, she shall continue to join investigation, if and so required by the Investigating Officer.

6. Disposed of.

7. Pending misc application (s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(Yashvir Singh Rathor)
Judge

January 09, 2026
renu

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No