



CRM-M-62013-2025 (O&M) 1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

207

CRM-M-62013-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision:12.01.2026

Vikas @ Varun

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present: Mr. Rahul Aggarwal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Gautam Kaile, DAG Haryana

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 for grant of concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.123 dated 20.02.2025, under Sections 115, 190, 191(2), 324(6), 333, 351(3) and 238(c) of BNS, 2023, registered at Police Station HTM, Hisar.

2. On 06.11.2025, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel contends that there is no overt act attributed to the petitioner, but for an allegation that he was driving a white Bolero of which co-accused were the occupants, who had allegedly damaged the liquor vend of the complainant, one of them, namely, Rohit has since been granted anticipatory bail by learned Addl. Sessions Judge, despite the contention of the Public Prosecutor having been recorded in the order dated 09.10.2025 that he was not fully cooperating as he had failed to



CRM-M-62013-2025 (O&M) 2

disclose the names of others as is the case of the petitioner. Similarly circumstanced co-accused, namely, Gagan Chhabra and Rohit Kangra alias Monu have also been released on bail. Be that as it may, he submits that the petitioner is not involved in any other case and given an opportunity to join and cooperate with the investigation, he is ready to hand over the white coloured Bolero.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation on or before 17.11.2025. In the event of his arrest, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, subject to compliance of conditions as enshrined under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

However, it is clarified that if the petitioner does not join and cooperate with the Investigating Agency as required by the Arresting/Investigating Officer, this interim order shall be deemed to have been vacated.

Adjourned to 12.01.2026.”

3. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the aforementioned order, the petitioner has joined investigation. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, he shall make himself available without demur.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation on 02.10.2025 and recovery stands effected. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.

CRM-M-62013-2025 (O&M) 3

5. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 06.11.2025 granting interim bail to him, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. (now 482(2) of BNSS, 2023)

6. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to him.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

January 12, 2026
dinesh

Whether speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No