



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

211-2

CRM-M-62392-2025

GURDEEP SINGH

...PETITIONER

V/s

STATE OF PUNJAB

...RESPONDENT

Date of decision: 21.01.2026

Date of uploading:21.01.2026

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. Yashpal Thakur, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Jaypreet Singh, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Deepak Goyal, Advocate for the complainant.

SUMEET GOEL, J.

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.0215 dated 08.09.2025, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 318(4), 316(2), 61(1) BNS, 2023 at Police Station Sadar Dhuri, District Sangrur.

2. On 07.11.2025, the following order was passed:-

“The present petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case registered against him vide FIR No.0215 dated 08.09.2025 under Sections 318(4), 316(2), 61(1) BNS, 2023 at Police Station Sadar Dhuri, District Sangrur.

The learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that a co-accused of the petitioner, namely, Sukhwinder Kaur, has been granted the concession of interim anticipatory bail.



Notice of motion.

Mr. Harkanwar Jeet Singh, AAG, Punjab, present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the State.

Adjourned to 21.01.2026.

In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to appear before the SHO/Investigating Officer to join investigation and in the event of his arrest, he shall be released on bail on his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of SHO/Investigating Agency, subject to the following conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) BNSS:-

(i) that the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation before the investigating officer as and when required;

(ii) that the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

(iii) that the petitioner shall not leave the country without prior permission of the Court and shall surrender his passport, if any.

Meanwhile, the State is directed to file an affidavit/reply as to the exact role of the petitioner and his co-accused alongwith the details of pending FIRs, if any, on or before the next date of hearing.

To be heard alongwith CRM-M-61092-2025.”

3. Learned State counsel, on instructions, has stated that pursuant to the order dated 07.11.2025, the petitioner has joined investigation and is no longer required for custodial interrogation.

4. Learned counsel for the complainant has vehemently opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner by arguing that the allegations raised against the petitioner are direct/serious in nature and hence he ought not to be extended the concession of anticipatory bail. He has further iterated that in case the petitioner is extended the concession of anticipatory bail, there is all the likelihood that he may interfere with the investigation as also intimidate the investigation/witnesses.

5. Keeping in view the factual milieu of the case in hand; especially the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated therein and is no longer required by the State for further custodial interrogation, this Court is inclined to confirm the order dated



07.11.2025, granting anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) of BNSS.

- 6. Ordered accordingly.
- 7. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.
- 8. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 or upon showing any other sufficient cause.
- 9. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.
- 10. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

21.01.2026
jatin

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No