



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(218) CRA-S-3437-2025(O&M)
DATE OF DECISION: 20.01.2026

LalitAppellant

VERSUS

State of Haryana and anotherRespondents

218(2) CRA-S-3321-2025

Naresh @ GoriyaAppellant

VERSUS

State of Haryana and anotherRespondent

218(3) CRA-S-3441-2025

VickyAppellant

VERSUS

State of Haryana and anotherRespondent

CORAM HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present Mr.S.S.Momi, Advocate,
for the appellant in CRA-S-3441-2025.

Mr. Pradeep Duhan, Advocate,
for the appellant in CRA-S-3437-2025.

Mr. Sushil Sheoran, Advocate,
for the appellant in CRA-S-3321-2025.

Mr. Ramender Singh Chauhan, Asstt. AG, Haryana.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J (ORAL)

1. Since all the above mentioned appeals for grant of regular bail
have arisen from same FIR, therefore, are being decided by common order.




2. The present appeal has been filed under Section 14-A of Scheduled Caste & Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 for setting aside of impugned orders dated 25.09.2025, vide which regular bail filed by the appellants in FIR No.90 dated 01.05.2025, qua offences under Section 3(2)(va) of Scheduled Caste and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 and under Section 110 / 115(2) / 118(1) / 351(2)[117(2)/3(5) added later on and 190/191(2)/191(3) deleted later on) of BNSS, 2023, registered at Police Station Badhra, District Charkhi Dadri was dismissed.

3. Learned counsel for the appellants submitted that the present appeal is under Scheduled Caste and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 and appellants are in custody for the last six months; investigation has already been completed; challan has been presented; charges have been framed; now the trial is fixed for 21.02.2026 for recording the prosecution evidence; injuries are simple in nature and not on vital part of the body and *prima facie* offence under Section 110 BNS is not made out as it is a moot question and will be decided at appropriate stage after receiving evidence from both the parties and prayer for acceptance of appeal and grant of regular bail to the appellants is made.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel, submitted that the appellants in collusion with each other caused injuries and there are seven injuries on the part of the complainant, however, it is fairly admitted that injuries are on the non vital part i.e legs and other parts of the body.

5. Heard.

6. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case



appellants; investigation has already been completed; trial will take sufficient time to conclude and no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping them in custody for any further period, as concession of bail cannot be denied just as a measure of punishment as culpability is to be decided by trial Court after appreciating evidence adduced by the parties, and it is a trite principle of criminal jurisprudence that bail is a rule, jail is an exception, this Court deems it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the appellants.

7. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant appeals are allowed. The appellants are ordered to be released on regular bail on their furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

8. A photocopy of the order be placed on the file of connected appeals.

20.01.2026	(SUBHAS MEHLA)
mamta	JUDGE
Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No