



CRM-M-62043-2025 (O&M) 1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

208

CRM-M-62043-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision:12.01.2026

Sandeep Kumar

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present: Mr. Rohit Kumar, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Gautam Kaile, DAG Haryana

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 for grant of concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.457 dated 29.07.2025, under Sections 115, 190, 191(3), 351(2) of BNS, 2023 and Sections 351(2), 110, 117(2) of BNS added later on, registered at Police Station Shahabad, District Kurukshetra.

2. On 06.11.2025, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel contends that it is a case of version and cross-version, for which a complaint vide rapat, Annexure P-2, has been submitted as the petitioner himself had received injury on head and got 8 stitches, while allegation against him is of having caused injury on the left hand of Satish with a danda. The petitioner, who alleges false implication, is not involved in any other case. He is ready and willing to join the investigation as



CRM-M-62043-2025 (O&M) 2

and when required by the investigating agency and will cooperate.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

Ms. Manpreet, Advocate, has put in appearance and filed her power of attorney on behalf of the complainant, which is taken on record.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation on or before 17.11.2025. In the event of his arrest, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, subject to compliance of conditions as enshrined under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

However, it is clarified that if the petitioner does not join and cooperate with the Investigating Agency as required by the Arresting/Investigating Officer, this interim order shall be deemed to have been vacated.

Adjourned to 12.01.2026.”

3. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the aforementioned order, the petitioner has joined investigation. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, he shall make himself available without demur.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation on 10.11.2025 and recovery stands effected. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed



CRM-M-62043-2025 (O&M) 3

and the order dated 06.11.2025 granting interim bail to him, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. (now 482(2) of BNSS, 2023)

6. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to him.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

January 12, 2026
dinesh

Whether speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No