

2026:PHHC:006993



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

233

CRM-M No.61880 of 2025
Date of Decision: 19.01.2026

Daillo Hasanne ... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana ... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present: Mr. Robin Singh Hooda, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Himani Arora, DAG, Haryana,
for the respondent-State.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (For short “BNSS”) seeking regular bail in the FIR mentioned below:-

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
160	26.05.2025	Urban Estate Rohtak, District Rohtak	318(4) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (For short “BNS”) (336(3), 338, 340(2), 61(2) and 238 of BNS and 14 of Foreigners Act added later on)

2. Brief facts relevant for the purpose of disposal of this petition are that the aforementioned FIR was registered on the basis of a

2026:PHHC:006993



written complaint submitted by the complainant Sumit Kumar alleging that on 21.05.2025, he saw an advertisement on Facebook about online air ticket booking. He had made call for making an online air ticket booking for Kochi on the cell phone number as given in the advertisement and was asked to transfer sums of Rs.38,500/- and Rs.28,500/- respectively. He had transferred that amount of money but later on came to know that a fraud had been played upon him. After registration of FIR, investigation proceedings were initiated. The bank record of the complainant and other bank accounts showing amount credit transactions and call detail record of the concerned cell numbers were obtained. One of such bank account was found to be in the name of accused Vishal Poddar who was arrested on 07.07.2025. On interrogation, he suffered disclosure statement to the effect that the present petitioner who is an African resident used to visit his shop at Village Khanpur, Delhi and had induced him to join him for earning handsome amount of money by committing cyber fraud. On the asking of the petitioner, he had joined him. He used to make accounts on Instagram and Facebook App and show advertisements for booking of airplane tickets by showing some cell numbers and then used to defraud public persons. The accused Vishal Poddar also disclosed that on asking of the petitioner, he had given his bank account on settlement of receiving 15% of the amount of each transaction and further disclosed that the defrauded money was got transferred in his bank account by the petitioner.

2026:PHHC:006993



arrested on 08.07.2025. He too suffered disclosure statement admitting his involvement in the crime and got recovered seven cell phones and nine ATM cards used by him in the occurrence. On his disclosure, co-accused Rahul was nominated as additional accused. Investigation now stands completed.

4. It is argued by learned counsel for the petitioner that he has been falsely implicated in this case on vague allegations. He is in custody since 08.07.2025. The co-accused have been extended benefit of bail. The trial will take considerable time to conclude. His further incarceration would not serve any useful purpose. It is, thus, argued that he deserves to be extended benefit of bail.

5. Status report and custody certificate have been filed. Learned Deputy Advocate General, Haryana has argued that taking into consideration the gravity of the allegations levelled against the petitioner, the fact that he is mastermind of the crime and further fact that there are chances of his absconding, he does not deserve to be extended benefit of bail.

6. This Court has considered the rival submissions.

7. The petitioner by hatching conspiracy with the co-accused is alleged to have duped the complainant of a sum of Rs.67,000/- by way of fraudulent online transaction and is alleged to have committed cyber fraud. He is a foreign national who has also been booked under Section 14 of the Foreigners Act. There are specific allegations against the petitioner. The plea that he may abscond cannot be stated to be unfounded at this stage. His case

2026:PHHC:006993



cannot be stated to be at par with the case of the co-accused. Taking into consideration the above discussed facts, this Court is of the considered opinion that the petitioner does not deserve to be extended benefit of bail at this stage. Accordingly, the petition is dismissed.

8. It is, however, clarified that observations made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

19.01.2026
manju

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No