



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

237

CRWP-11819-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision: 16.01.2026

Vikas Kumar

...Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present :- Ms. Amarjeet Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. Sunil Rana, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Vivek Chauhan, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

Mr. DPS Bajwa, Advocate for respondents No.4 to 12.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. The instant petition has been filed for directing respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner and to restrain the private respondents No.4 to 14 not to harass or interfere in the peaceful life of the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner contends that the petitioner came in contact with Anju wife of Satish (respondent No.5) through social media platform instagram, whereupon they started talking to each other on Instagram as well as on the phone. A Panchayat was eventually convened and the statement of both the petitioner and Anju were recorded to the effect that they were residing in a live-in-relationship and owing to the threats and influence extended by respondents No. 4 to 14, they left the village and are residing outside. She contends that the petitioner

and Anju are not being allowed to stay in the village.

3. Pursuant to the notice issued, the respondents have been served.

4. Counsel for the respondents No.4 to 14 contends that the said respondents have no objection to the petitioner as well as Anju staying at any place of their choice. ASI Meena is also present in the Court and contends that as per the inquiry conducted by them, there is no threat to the petitioner and Anju in case they choose to reside in the village and in the event of any threat being brought to her notice, appropriate steps to ensure protection to their life and liberty shall be taken.

5. In view of the aforesaid, the present petition is ***disposed of*** as having been rendered infructuous at this stage.

6. The respondents shall however bound by the undertaking given in the Court.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

16.01.2026

Mangal Singh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No