



CRM-M-64380-2025

-1-

**(119) IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-64380-2025

Date of Decision: 25.02.2026

TINKU RAM

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Narender S. Sinder, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. T.P. Singh, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J.

The prayer in the present petition under Section 483 BNSS, 2023 is for the grant of regular bail in case bearing FIR No.0054 dated 18.03.2025 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 305, 331(3) of BNS, 2023 at Police Station Sanoli, Panipat, District Panipat.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that as per the allegations levelled against the petitioner, he stole a sum of Rs.2,25,000/- from the house where he was working as a Painter. Pursuant thereto, on his arrest, the recovery of Rs.1,12,000/- and an Activa has been shown from him. He contends that the allegations are baseless. In fact, the recovery of cash and the Activa scooter have been planted upon him. As the petitioner is in custody since 20.03.2025 but none of the 09 prosecution witnesses has been examined so far, the Trial of the present case is not likely to be concluded

**CRM-M-64380-2025****-2-**

anytime soon and therefore, he is entitled to the concession of bail moreso when he is a first-time offender.

3. On the other hand, the learned State counsel contends that serious allegations have been levelled against the petitioner of having committed theft in the house of the complainant. Therefore, the petitioner is not entitled to the concession of bail. He, however, concedes that the petitioner is a first-time offender, is in custody since 20.03.2025 and that none of the 09 of the prosecution witnesses has been examined so far.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

5. The veracity of the prosecution case against the petitioner shall be adjudicated upon during the course of the Trial. Admittedly, the petitioner is a first-time offender, in custody since 20.03.2025 and none of the 09 prosecution witnesses has been examined so far. Therefore, the Trial of the present case is not likely to be concluded anytime soon. In this situation the further incarceration of the petitioner is not required.

6. Thus without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner-Tinku Ram S/o Sube Ram is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned CJM/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

7. The petitioner shall appear before the police station concerned on the first Monday of every month till the conclusion of the trial and inform in writing each time that he is not involved in any other crime other than the present case.

**CRM-M-64380-2025****-3-**

8. In addition, the petitioner (or anyone on his behalf) shall prepare an FDR in the sum of Rs.25,000/- and deposit the same with the Trial Court. The same would be liable to be forfeited as per law in case of the absence of the petitioner from trial without sufficient cause.

9. The petition stands disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

25.02.2026

JITESH

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No
Whether reportable:- Yes/No