



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

112

CRM-M-62285-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision: 29.04.2026

Rambir

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Rajesh Lamba and Mr. Vinod Kumar, Advocates
for the petitioner

Mr. B.S. Saroha, DAG Haryana

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 483 BNSS is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.0132 dated 10.05.2025, registered under Sections 109(1), 324(4), 333 BNS and 25 of Arms Act at Police Station Saran, Faridabad, Haryana.

2. Learned counsel contends that the petitioner has been in custody for more than 11½ months. He is HIV positive patient. Complainant and her daughter stand examined, who were the material witnesses, however, there are 14 more remaining, though the charges had been framed on 18.10.2025. He is not involved in any other case. He undertakes that the petitioner will not extend any threat to the complainant and his family.

3. Learned State counsel opposes the bail on the ground that there are specific allegations against the petitioner of having inflicted injuries attracting offence under Section 307 IPC. However, he is unable to controvert the submissions with regard to the petitioner being HIV positive patient, stage of



the case and the petitioner being not involved in any other case.

4. Heard.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, in particular that the petitioner is in custody for the last 11 months and 19 days; not involved in any other case; he is suffering from HIV; charges were framed on 18.10.2025, however, 14 more prosecution witnesses still remain to be examined, the trial is likely to take a considerable time, further incarceration of the petitioner would be violative of his right enshrined under Article 21 of the Constitution of India, the present petition is allowed.

6. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bond/surety bond to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

7. It is made abundantly clear that in case there is any breach of the condition, the State shall be at liberty to seek cancellation of bail as granted to the petitioner by this order.

8. In view of the above, it is clarified that the observations made herein above are limited for the purpose of present proceedings and would not be construed as any opinion on the merits of the case and the trial would proceed independently of the aforesaid observations.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

29.04.2026

M.Kamra

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No