



264

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-32552-2025  
DECIDED ON: 06.04.2026**

**NARENDER SINGH AND OTHERS****.....PETITIONER(S)****VERSUS****STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS****.....RESPONDENT(S)****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL**

Present: Mr. S.S. Sahu, Advocate for the petitioner(s)  
Mr. Teevar Sharma, DAG Haryana

**SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)**

1. The jurisdiction of this Court has been invoked under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of appropriate writ in the nature of certiorari praying for setting aside impugned order dated 29.10.2024 (Annexure P-6) passed by respondent no. 7, Chief Executive Officer, Haryana Kaushal Rozgar Nigam Limited, Panchkula, Haryana and order dated 27.11.2024 (Annexure P-7) passed by respondent no. 3, Civil Surgeon, Civil Hospital, Panipat, District Panipat being illegal, null and void, capricious and against the provisions of deployment of contractual persons policy, 2022 dated 30.06.2022 (Annexure P-8) whereby the respondents have passed the contradictory speaking order in compliance with the order passed by this Hon'ble Court dated 11.09.2024 (Annexure P-5) vide which direction was issued to the respondents to consider the claim of the petitioners for deployment/portal of their name under Haryana Koshal Rozgar Nigam which was rejected by passing a non-speaking order and shifted their liabilities for employment of the petitioners to each other and passed the contradictory order. Therefore, in view of the facts and circumstances of this case,

the impugned orders dated 29.10.2024 and 27.11.2024 passed by respondent no. 7, Chief Executive Officer, Haryana Kaushal Rozgar Nigam Limited, Panchkula, Haryana and respondent no. 3, Civil Surgeon, Civil Hospital, Panipat, District Panipat respectively are liable to be set aside.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the issue involved in the present petition already stands adjudicated by this Court *vide* order dated 24.12.2025 passed in CWP-7437-2025 titled as '***Renu and ors. vs. State of Haryana and ors.***'

3. Mr. Teevar Sharma, DAG Haryana, undertakes that the impugned relieving order by which the service of the petitioners were dispensed with, shall be withdrawn and they will be allowed to continue till a fresh decision is taken. It has further been stated that the State shall undertake a fresh consideration of the matter by examining the case of the petitioners independently and shall pass appropriate orders in accordance with law.

5. In light of above, the present writ petition is disposed of in terms of the order dated 24.12.2025 passed in ***Renu and ors. (supra)***, as the controversy involved herein is squarely covered by the said decision. Accordingly, the respondents are directed to extend the same benefits to the petitioners, as admissible under law, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

6. Ordered accordingly.

7. Pending application(s), if any shall be disposed off.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)  
JUDGE

06.04.2026

Meenu

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No