



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

205

CRM-M-62133-2025 (O&M)

AMANDEEP KAMBOJ ALIAS AMAN DHOT

....PETITIONER

V/s

STATE OF PUNJAB

....RESPONDENT

Date of decision: 02.02.2026

Date of uploading: 02.02.2026

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. P.S. Ahluwalia, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. D.S. Virk, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Jaypreet Singh, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Rajat Dogra, Advocate for the complainant.

SUMEET GOEL, J.

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No. 241 dated 16.09.2024, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 406, 420 and 120-B of the IPC, at Police Station Tripuri, Patiala.

2. On 26.11.2025, the following order was passed:-

“Learned Senior counsel has argued, inter alia, that genesis of the FIR in question is primarily a civil dispute; the petitioner has purchased the land in question on the basis of a Power of Attorney issued by the complainant on 24.04.2024, whereinafter, the complainant issued a cheque dated 13.05.2024 to the concerned Bank for issuance of No Dues Certificate, on 14.05.2024, which lends veracity to the authorization contained in the Power of Attorney; no effective recovery is to be made from the petitioner; & the petitioner is willing to join investigation and cooperate therein. Put up on 17.12.2025.



The petitioner is directed to appear before the Investigating Officer on 02.12.2025 at 11:00 A.M. in concerned Police Station and join investigation. In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal/surety bond(s) to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. As and when further called by Investigating Officer, the petitioner shall join the investigation. He shall abide by the condition(s) enumerated under Section 482(2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.”

3. On 21.01.2026, the following order was passed:-

“Learned State counsel has submitted that the petitioner is required to rejoin the investigation so as to carry out further investigation.

Accordingly, the petitioner is directed to appear before the concerned Investigating Officer in the concerned police station on 22.1.2026 at 11:00 a.m. and join investigation, in terms of order dated 26.11.2025 passed by this Court.

Put up on 2.2.2026.

Interim order to continue.

Investigating Officer of the case (alongwith case dairy) is directed to remain present in Court on the said date.”

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions, has stated that pursuant to the order dated 26.11.2025 and 21.01.2026 the petitioner has joined investigation and is no longer required for custodial interrogation.

5. Learned counsel for the complainant has vehemently opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner by arguing that the allegations raised against the petitioner are direct/serious in nature and hence he ought not to be extended the concession of anticipatory bail. He has further iterated that in case the petitioner is extended the concession of anticipatory bail, there is all the likelihood that he may interfere with the investigation as also intimidate the investigation/witnesses.

6. Keeping in view the factual milieu of the case in hand; especially the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated therein and is no longer required by the State for further



custodial interrogation, this Court is inclined to confirm the order dated 26.11.2025 and 21.01.2026 granting anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) of BNSS.

7. Ordered accordingly.
8. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.
9. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 or upon showing any other sufficient cause.
10. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.
11. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

02.02.2026
jatin

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No