



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

211

CRM-M No.61092 of 2025
Date of decision : 21.1.2026
Date of uploading : 21.1.2026

Sukhwinder KaurPetitioner
Versus
State of PunjabRespondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Ms. Yashpal Thakur, Advocate, for the petitioner
Mr. Jaypreet Singh, DAG, Punjab
Mr. Deepak Goyal, Advocate, for the complainant

SUMEET GOEL, J. (ORAL)

1. On 31.10.2025, the following order was passed:
- 'The present petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case registered against her vide FIR No.0215 dated 08.09.2025 under Sections 318(4), 316(2), 61(1) of BNS, 2023 at Police Station Sadar Dhuri, District Sangrur.*
- The learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that the allegations levelled against the petitioner are absurd on the face of it and stems out of a matrimonial dispute.*
- Notice of motion.*
- Mr. Harkanwar Jeet Singh, AAG, Punjab, present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the State.*
- Adjourned to 21.01.2026.*
- In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to appear before the SHO/Investigating Officer to join investigation and in the event of her arrest, she shall be released on bail on her furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of SHO/Investigating Agency, subject to the following conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) BNSS:-*



(i) that the petitioner shall make herself available for interrogation before the investigating officer as and when required;
(ii) that the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;
(iii) that the petitioner shall not leave the country without prior permission of the Court and shall surrender her passport, if any.
Meanwhile, the State is directed to file an affidavit/reply as to the exact role of the petitioner and her co-accused alongwith the details of pending FIRs, if any, on or before the next date of hearing.'

2. Learned State counsel (on instructions) submits that pursuant to the order dated 31.10.2025, the petitioner has joined investigation but his custodial interrogation is required for recovering the money and gold in question.

3. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and upon perusal of the record, especially keeping in view the factum that the petitioner has joined investigation and his custodial interrogation is sought only for recovery of money and gold in question; this Court is inclined to confirm the order dated 31.10.2025.

4. In view of the above, the instant petition is allowed. The interim order dated 31.10.2025, passed by this Court is hereby confirmed, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) of BNSS.

5. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

6. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for



cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS or upon showing any other sufficient cause.

7. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

21.1.2026
Ashwanii

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No