



139

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-61471-2025

Date of decision : 23.03.2026

Anoop Kumar**....Petitioner**

versus

State of Haryana**.... Respondent****CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ**

Present :- Mr. Mannu Singh Sheokand, Advocate and
Mr. Aman Chaudhary, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Tanuj Sharma, A.A.G., Haryana.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. Present third petition has been filed by the petitioner praying for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.048 dated 11.06.2024, under Sections 420 and 120-B of IPC, registered at Police Station Cyber Crime Karnal, District Karnal.

2. As per the facts of the case, the present FIR was lodged on the statement of complainant, namely, Dinesh Kumar. It was alleged that on 01.06.2024, he received a phone call purported to be made by Khush, who was the son of his nephew. The caller informed that his friend who was a resident of Canada would deposit an amount of Rs.10 lacs in the account of the complainant on Monday and the same was deposited in his account. The caller further informed the complainant that he was sending a mobile number of one Vishal and that the complainant should pay an amount of Rs.4 lacs to Vishal as children have met with an accident. It was further alleged that Vishal then made a whatsapp call to the complainant and supplied the account number of a person named Pankaj



Kumar of Canara Bank, Branch Lahartara, UP. On 03.06.2024, the complainant again received a telephonic call at 8:00 a.m. from Vishal who stated that his child had died, while the other one was very serious and that the complainant should transfer the remaining amount of Rs.6 lacs in the bank account number already provided to him. The complainant then became apprehensive and talked to the son of his nephew, namely, Khush, on which Khush informed that he had never asked the complainant to transfer any such amount to any person and that some scam had taken place. The complainant further stated that he had earlier transferred Rs.4 lacs from the bank account of his commission agent namely, Bir Singh. Thus, request was made to take legal action against the assailants. On registration of FIR, investigation commenced. The complicity of the petitioner surfaced during investigation and thus, he was arrested on 30.06.2024. The petitioner approached the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Karnal, praying for grant of bail, however, finding no merit, the same was declined after hearing both the sides by Learned trial Court vide order dated 26.08.2025. Aggrieved by the same, the petitioner earlier approached this Court twice by way of filing of CRM-M-9091-2025 and CRM-M-49434-2025 but the same were declined by by this Court vide orders dated 21.08.2025 and 10.09.2025, respectively. Hence, the petitioner is before this Court praying for grant of bail by way of filing of present third petition.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. He submits that the amount involved in the present case is of Rs.4 lacs. He submits that the petitioner is behind bars from last more than 1½ years. He further



submits that the material witnesses already stand examined. He submits that though the petitioner is falsely implicated in 04 other cases, however, he is on bail in those cases. He, thus, submits that in the facts and circumstances of the present case, the petitioner deserves to be granted bail.

4. Per contra, learned State counsel has also opposed the submissions made by counsel for the petitioner and submits the complicity of the petitioner surfaced during investigation. He submits that the mobile number of the petitioner was found to be recovered with the account, in question. He submits that there is a recovery of Rs.25,000/- from the petitioner. He, on instructions, has submitted that out of total 18 prosecution witnesses, 04 witnesses have been examined so far. He has produced on record the custody certificate of the petitioner.

5. After hearing counsel for the parties and perusing the record, it is deciphered that the petitioner in the present case was arrested on 30.06.2024. The custody certificate produced would show that the petitioner has suffered an incarceration of 01 year, 08 months and 17 days as on 20.03.2026. It further reflects that the petitioner is involved in 04 more cases, however, he is on bail in those cases. As submitted before this Court, material witnesses already stands examined.

6. The veracity of the allegations would be assessed only after conclusion of the trial and on the appreciation of evidence to be led by both the parties before the trial Court.

7. This Court would refrain itself from commenting anything on the merits of the case. Keeping in view the arguments raised by both the sides and perusing the record, the Court is of the opinion that learned

bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, present petition is allowed and petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court/Duty Magistrate. The learned trial Court is directed to ensure that **one local surety** is furnished by the petitioner at the time of submission of bail/surety bonds to its satisfaction. Nothing said herein shall be treated as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

23.03.2026
ps-I

(**RAJESH BHARDWAJ**)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No