



CRM-M-61515-2025

-1-

**242 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH****CRM-M-61515-2025**

Date of Decision: 14.01.2026

Jeetan Kaur

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Shakinderpal Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Tanuj Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

Ms. Gurpreet Kaur, Advocate, for the complainant.

Rajesh Bhardwaj, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the present petition is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in a case FIR No.237 dated 04.07.2024, registered under Sections 406, 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC, at Police Station Ambala City, District Ambala.

2. Succinctly, facts of the case are that the FIR in the present case was lodged on the statement of the complainant, namely, Narinder Singh. It was alleged that he came into contact with Karan @ Preet, who allured him for sending him abroad. The complainant got married to Gurvinder Kaur and after meeting with Karan @ Preet, they both decided to go abroad. For the purpose, they contacted Karan @ Preet and thus, the deal was struck for an amount of Rs.27 lacs. Karan @ Preet gave an account number to the complainant, in which he deposited Rs.16,20,000/-. In total, the complainant paid Rs.23,50,000/- to the accused persons, however, lateron he found himself cheated as neither the visas were provided to him nor money was returned to him. Thus, request was made to take legal action against the accused person. On the registration of the FIR, the investigation commenced. During the investigation, complicity of the petitioner was



CRM-M-61515-2025

-2-

surfaced and thus, she was arrested on 25.10.2024. On the completion of the investigation, the challan was presented. The petitioner approached the Court of learned Additional Sessions Judge, Ambala praying for grant of regular bail. However, after hearing both the sides, the learned Court finding no merit in the same, dismissed the bail application filed by the petitioner vide order dated 18.09.2025. Being aggrieved, the petitioner earlier approached this Court for grant of regular bail by way of filing CRM-M-16233-2025, however, the same was dismissed as withdrawn vide order dated 29.08.2025. Hence, the petitioner has again approached this Court praying for grant of regular bail by way of filing the present second petition.

3. It has been vehemently contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has been falsely roped in the present case by the co-accused i.e. her brother and thus, the transaction of money was found to have been made in the account of the petitioner. He submits that co-accused in the present case have already been enlarged on bail. To buttress his arguments, he submits that the petitioner has no criminal antecedents as she has never been involved in other criminal case. It is submitted that the petitioner is behind the bars from the last more than one year. He further submits that the investigation in the present case is already complete and thus, in these circumstances, the petitioner deserves to be granted bail.

4. *Per contra*, learned counsel for the complainant has vehemently opposed the submissions made by counsel for the petitioner. She has submitted that on asking of Karan @ Preet, the complainant deposited an amount of Rs.16,20,000/- in a bank account, which belonged to the petitioner. She, thus, submits that the petitioner does not deserve the



concession of bail.

5. Learned State counsel has also vehemently controverted the submissions made by counsel for the petitioner. He has submitted that complicity of the petitioner duly surfaced during the investigation. The bank account in which the money was deposited, belongs to the petitioner. On instructions, he submits that out of total six accused, four accused are on bail and one accused is yet to be arrested. Further on instructions, he submits that challan is presented and charges are yet to be framed. He has placed on record the custody certificate of the petitioner.

6. After hearing counsel for the parties and perusing the record, it is deciphered that the petitioner was arrested on 25.10.2024. The allegation against the petitioner is to the effect that the bank account in which the amount of deposited, was in the name of the petitioner. The custody certificate would show that the petitioner has suffered incarceration of 01 year, 02 months & 16 days as on 13.01.2026. It further shows that the petitioner has no criminal antecedents. The investigation is complete and challan has been presented. Co-accused are stated to be on bail.

7. The veracity of the allegations would be assessed only after the conclusion of the trial and on the appreciation of evidence to be led by both the parties before the trial Court. This Court would refrain itself from commenting anything on the merits of the case. The trial of the case will take sufficient long time. Keeping in view the arguments raised by both the sides and perusing the record, this Court is of the opinion that learned counsel for the petitioner succeeds in making out a case for grant of regular bail to the petitioner.



CRM-M-61515-2025

-4-

8. Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

9. Nothing said herein shall be treated as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

14.01.2026

sharmila

Whether Speaking/Reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether Reportable :	Yes/No