



CRM-M-61589-2025 and
CRM-M-62407-2025

1

146

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-61589-2025

Surender

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CRM-M-62407-2025

Vijay Kumar Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

Decided on: 17.03.2026

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Robin Singh Hooda, Advocate
for the petitioner(s) (in CRM-M-61589-2025)

Mr. Randeep Dhull, Advocate
for the petitioner(s) (in CRM-M-62407-2025)

Mr. Pawan Kumar Jhanda, Sr. DAG Haryana

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (ORAL)

1. By way of this common order, both the above-mentioned petitions are being decided together as they arise out of the same FIR and common allegations.

2. Both the above mentioned petitioners namely–(i) Surender (in CRM-M-61589-2025) and (ii) Vijay Kumar Singh (in CRM-M-62407-2025) have filed instant bail petitions under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 439



**CRM-M-61589-2025 and
CRM-M-62407-2025**

Cr.P.C.), for grant of regular bail to the petitioners, during the pendency of trial, who have been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
182	01.08.2024	420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC (Section 511 IPC added later on)	Industrial Area, Bhiwani	Bhiwani

3. FIR has been registered at the instance of complainant Rahul with the allegation that he is 10+2 passed and a student of B.A.(3rd year). Apart this, he is a national level wrestler and used to participate in wrestling tournaments. During which period, he came into contact with petitioners, namely; Kuldeep and Arjun, and in July 2023, they made him to meet other co-accused, namely, Surender and Atul Jain and told that both of them are able to provide employment to unemployed persons in Indian Agriculture Research Institute, New Delhi. On being allured by them for getting the employment as Clerk, he had paid an amount Rs. 10 lacs. Details of the payments made on several occasions in the year 2023 have been mentioned in the FIR. Thereafter, complainant was handed over a fake joining letter/appointment letter. Neither the amount was paid back, nor the actual appointment letter was ever given to the complainant, from the accused persons.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners argues that there are total six accused namely Surender (petitioner in CRM-M-61589-2025), Vijay Kumar Singh (petitioner in CRM-M-62407-2025), Kuldeep, Arjun, Atul Jain and Deepak Kumar. He further submits as per allegations complainant-Rahul has been cheated and defrauded to the total amount of Rs. 10 lacs by the accused person because all of them had given false promise of getting the complainant employed in the



**CRM-M-61589-2025 and
CRM-M-62407-2025**

3

Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi.

5. Learned counsel representing Vijay Kumar Singh argues that petitioner is aged 43 years and is not a previous convict in any other criminal case of similar nature. It is further commonly argued that allegations are false and baseless. Had there been any such bargain or exchange of amount, investigating agency could have taken suitable action against the complainant himself, who admittedly attempted/gave bribe for getting government job. Moreover, petitioners are inside jail for the last more than 10 months and co-accused namely Kuldeep and Arjun have been granted regular bail by this Court on 08.10.2025 passed in CRM-M-43782-2025 and CRM-M-49355-2025, Atul Jain has also been granted regular bail by this Court on 23.12.2025 passed in CRM-M-71559-2025 and Deepak Kumar has been granted concession of anticipatory bail by this Court on 09.12.2024 passed in CRM-M-61527-2025. Thus, pray for grant of regular bail.

6. On the other hand, learned State counsel, while opposing the prayer for bail, submits that complainant has now been made accused in the present case. Thus, prays for dismissal of the all bail petitions.

7. I have considered the submissions addressed by learned counsel from both the sides and also examined the record alongwith the appended documents. All the offences are triable by the Court of learned Magistrate. Both the petitioners, namely, (i) Surrender (in CRM-M-61589-2025) and (ii) Vijay Kumar Singh (in CRM-M-62407-2025) are inside the jail since 21.05.2025 and 21.06.2025 respectively and their any longer incarceration is not going to serve any meaningful purpose, to the prosecution or to any other urgency for just decision of the trial.



**CRM-M-61589-2025 and
CRM-M-62407-2025**

4

8. Truthfulness in the allegations and also as to whether there was any fault of the complainant, who attempted to secure job by paying money is yet to be ascertained by the trial Court.

9. Accordingly, the petitions are allowed. Petitioners are ordered to be released on bail, subject to their furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/ Chief Judicial Magistrate/ Illaqa Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned, if not required in any other case.

10. Needless to observe that the petitioner(s) shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witness in any manner directly or indirectly.

11. Any of the discussion done and recorded here above, shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the facts of the case. Therefore, trial Court is expected to decide the case by taking an independent view, on the basis of evidence available on record, as expeditiously as possible, in accordance with law.

12. Both the petitions stand disposed of.

13. A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of connected case.

17.03.2026
reena

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes/No*
Whether Reportable: *Yes/No*