



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

193

CRM-M-60986-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision: 12.03.2026

SHEEMA @ SEEMA

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. LS Sekhon, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Manipal Singh Atwal, DAG, Punjab.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 BNSS, 2023, for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.188 dated 10.09.2025 under Sections 21, 27-A and 29 of NDPS Act, 1985 and Section 111 of BNS, 2023 registered at Police Station Sadar Khanna, Police District Khanna, District Ludhiana.

2. On 01.12.2025, this Court had passed the following order:-

“The present petition has been filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.188 dated 10.09.2025 under Sections 21, 27-A and 29 of NDPS Act, 1985 and Section 111 of BNS, 2023 registered at Police Station Sadar Khanna, Police District Khanna, District Ludhiana.

The case of the prosecution is that a vehicle make Swift and one motorcycle were apprehended by the police, in which 50 grams of heroin was recovered from Vicky @ Mohna and an amount of Rs.6500/- was recovered from co-accused Raj Kumar @ Ravi, which was stated to be the drug money. In his disclosure statement, the accused Vicky @ Mohna has named the present petitioner Sheema @ Seema alongwith her son Kuldeep Singh. However, apart from the disclosure statement against the petitioner, there is neither any evidence nor has any recovery been made from her. Counsel for the petitioner further contends that at this state, there is no evidence to connect the petitioner with the alleged offence or the alleged recovery. The petitioner is not involved in any other criminal case.

Reply on behalf of the State has been filed today. Same is taken on record. A copy of the reply has been supplied to the learned counsel for the petitioner, who prays for some time to go through the same and advance arguments.

On his request, adjourned to 12.03.2026.

In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to join investigation as and when required by the Investigating Agency/Officer. In the event of the petitioner joining investigation, she shall be admitted to interim bail by the arresting/investigating officer on her furnishing adequate bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the arresting/investigating officer. The petitioner shall, however, be bound to abide by the conditions as specified under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023.”

3. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the afore-mentioned order, the petitioner has not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, she shall make herself available without demur.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Mohinder Singh affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioner and cooperating with the investigating agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 01.12.2025 granting interim bail to her, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

6. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to her.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

12.03.2026

ashok

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No