



CWP-32106-2025

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

108

CWP-32106-2025

Date of Decision: 16.04.2026

M/s Annapurna Rice and General Mills

...Petitioner

Versus

Punjab State Power Corporation Limited and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: - Mr. Inderjit Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Mohit Sadana, Advocate for the respondents

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of memos both dated 09.06.2025 whereby respondent has raised demand of ₹2,23,465/- and ₹4,59,476/-.
2. As per impugned notices/memos, the respondent has raised demand of ₹2,23,465/- and ₹4,59,476/- alleging '*excess MDI (Maximum Demand Indicator)*'.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that demand has been raised without jurisdiction. There is no provision in the Electricity Act, 2003 (for short '**2003 Act**') which permits the respondent to raise aforesaid demand.

**CWP-32106-2025****-2-**

4. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that impugned memos have been issued under Section 126 of 2003 Act.

5. On being confronted with impugned memos, learned counsel for the respondents expressed his inability to controvert that Authorities have not invoked any particular provision while issuing impugned memos. Faced with this, he submits that Authorities may be permitted to pass/issue fresh order/memo on the basis of available facts and documents as per 2003 Act.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner agrees to the aforesaid arrangement.

7. In the wake of statements of both sides, impugned memos are hereby set aside with liberty to respondents to pass/issue fresh order/memo as per Electricity Act, 2003.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

16.04.2026*Mohit Kumar*

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No