

226

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-61005-2025

Date of decision : 11.02.2026

Amanpreet Singh @ Aman @ Makki**.....Petitioner****versus****The State of Punjab****..... Respondent****CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ**

Present :- Mr. Dhruv Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Raj Karan Singh, AAG, Punjab.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. Present petition has been filed praying for the grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.142 dated 03.09.2025, under Sections 21(b), 27-A (Section 29 added later on) of the NDPS Act, 1985, registered at Police Station Sultanwind, District Police Commissionerate Amritsar.

2. Succinctly the facts of the case are that the police while on patrolling on 03.09.2025, saw a young man coming from the front. On seeing the police, he got perplexed and started turning back and after taking out something heavy from his pocket held in his right hand. On suspicion, he was stopped by the police. On asking, he disclosed his name as Amanpreet Singh (petitioner). On conducting his search, 7.80 grams of heroin and drug money of Rs.600/- (in total) were recovered from him. He failed to produce any license regarding the possession of the same. Thus, the FIR was registered and he was arrested on the spot. During investigation, he made a disclosure statement that he had purchased 08 grams of heroin from co-accused Jagjit Singh @ Judge. Challan was presented and on framing

of charges, trial commenced. Petitioner approached the Court of learned Judge, Special Court, Amritsar praying for the grant of bail. However after hearing both the sides, finding no merit in the same, the learned Judge, Special Court, Amritsar declined the petition filed by the petitioner vide order dated 10.10.2025. Hence, being aggrieved by the same, the petitioner is before this Court by way of filing the present petition praying for the grant of regular bail.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner, at the outset, prays for the grant of bail on the basis of parity with that of co-accused Jagjit Singh @ Judge. He has drawn the attention of this Court to the order passed by this Court in CRM-M-1447-2026 dated 20.01.2026 whereby co-accused of the petitioner, namely, Jagjit Singh @ Judge has been granted the concession of regular bail. He has submitted that case of the petitioner is at par with the co-accused, who has been granted bail by this Court. He submits that the recovery made is marginally above the commercial quantity. To buttress his arguments, he has submitted that though the petitioner is involved in only one more case, however, he is on bail in that case. He submits that on the basis of the parity, the petitioner deserves to be granted bail as case of the petitioner is similar to that of the co-accused, who has already been granted bail by this Court.

4. Per contra, learned State counsel has opposed the submissions made by counsel for the petitioner. He has placed on record the short reply by way of an affidavit of Mr. Parvesh Chopra, PPS, Assistant Commissioner of Police (South), Amritsar City. He has endorsed the fact that case of the petitioner is at par with co-accused, namely, Jagjit Singh @ Judge, who has already been granted bail by this Court. He has produced custody certificate of petitioner, today in the Court, which is

taken on record.

5. After hearing learned counsel for the parties and perusing the record, it is deciphered that the petitioner is behind bars since the date of his arrest. The custody certificate produced would show that petitioner has suffered incarceration of 05 months and 05 days as on 10.02.2026. It further reflects that though the petitioner is involved in 01 more case, however, he is on bail and in that case. Admittedly, co-accused of the petitioner, namely, Jagjit Singh @ Judge has already been granted bail by this Court vide order dated 20.01.2026 passed in CRM-M-1447-2026.

5. The veracity of the allegations would be assessed only after conclusion of the trial and on the appreciation of evidence to be led by both the parties before the trial Court. The trial of the case will take sufficiently long time. Keeping in view the arguments raised by both the sides and perusing the record, this Court is of the opinion that learned counsel for the petitioner succeeds in making out a case for grant of regular bail on the basis of parity with co-accused, Amanpreet Singh @Aman @ Makki. Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court/Duty Magistrate. Nothing said herein shall be treated as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

11.02.2026
m.sharma

(**RAJESH BHARDWAJ**)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No