



CWP-31925-2025 (O&M) -1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

128

CWP-31925-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision:20.05.2026

Pardeep Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSH BUNGER

Present: Mr. Krishan Singh Dadwal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

HARSH BUNGER J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the instant writ petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is for issuance of a writ in the nature of Certiorari for quashing the complaint (Annexure P-10) submitted by respondent No.19 on the ground that the newly initiated proceedings against the petitioner are politically motivated and a counter blast to the complaints submitted by the petitioner against respondents No.19, 21 and 22.

2. One of the submissions being raised by learned counsel for the petitioner is that on same sets of allegations, Divisional Deputy Director had already conducted an enquiry and submitted his enquiry report dated 26.09.2023 (Annexure P-9) and therefore, the present proceedings are nothing, but an abuse of the process of law.

3. Today, Mr. Nirmaljit Singh Diwana, Sr. DAG, Punjab, appears on behalf of the respondents-State, in pursuance of the advance copy of the writ petition having already been supplied to him and he submits that since the complaint is pending consideration before the learned Deputy Director,



CWP-31925-2025 (O&M) -2-

Jalandhar, the petitioner may appear before the said authority and put forth all his pleas available to him.

4. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner may be permitted to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to the petitioner to raise all the pleas as are raised in the present writ petition before the learned Deputy Director, Jalandhar. However, he further prays that appropriate directions be issued for considering the stand taken by the petitioner before passing any final order.

5. On the other hand, learned State counsel submits that in case the petitioner appears before the learned Deputy Director concerned and submits his reply taking factual and legal pleas, the same would be duly considered by the concerned officer and an appropriate order shall be passed (as the circumstances may warrant), after affording due opportunity of hearing to the petitioner and by way of passing a speaking order, in accordance with law.

6. Keeping in view the aforesaid stand taken by learned State counsel, no further order is required to be passed in the present writ petition and the same is disposed of accordingly.

7. All pending application(s), if any, shall also stand closed.

20.05.2026
dinesh

(HARSH BUNGER)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No