

2026:PHHC:022772-DB



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

111

**LPA-364-2025 (O&M) and
LPA-1657-2025
DATE OF DECISION: 12.02.2026**

INDERPAL SOOD THROUGH HIS LRS

...APPELLANT

VS.

**DIVISIONAL COMMISSIONER, KARNAL
AND OTHERS**

...RESPONDENTS

RAMAN KUMAR

..APPELLANT

VS.

THE FINANCIAL COMMISSIONER, PUNJAB AND ANR.

..RESPONDENTS

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI**

Present: Mr. Anish Setia, Advocate for the appellant in LPA-364-2025.

Mr. T.P.S. Chawla, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sandeep Wadhawan, Advocate for the Caveators-respondents

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

In the present appeal, the challenge is to the order dated 22.10.2024 in CWP-2803-1991 passed by the learned Single Judge, whereby the petition filed by the appellant claiming compensation qua their property abandoned in the Pakistant was dismissed.

2. After arguing for some time, learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of the appellant submits that certain arguments raised have not been noticed by the learned Single Judge while passing the order. Hence, the present appeals



LPA-364-2025 (O&M) and
LPA-1657-2025

-2-

may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to file a review before the learned Single Judge.

3. Ordered accordingly.
4. Photocopy of this order be placed on the file of other connected case.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

February 12, 2026
Poonam Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No