

2026:PHHC:028441



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-60869-2025

Date of decision :23.02.2026

SUBHASH CHANDER SHOOR & OTHERS

... Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB & ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Namit Gautam, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Harkanwar Jeet Singh, Asstt. A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Chander Parkash Rana, Advocate for the complainant.

(THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCING)

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (ORAL)

The prayer in the present petition under Section 528 BNSS, 2023 is for quashing of an FIR No.56 dated 01.04.2022 registered under Sections 427, 447, 511 IPC (Section 120-B IPC added later on) at Police Station Division No.5 at Police Station Commissionerate, Jalandhar and all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 16.10.2025 (Annexure P-2) entered into between the parties.

Vide order dated 03.11.2025 this Court had directed the parties to appear before Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded with regard to the compromise dated 16.10.2025 (P-2).

The Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court was to submit a report in this regard giving certain details as enumerated in the said order.

Pursuant to the order dated 03.11.2025 passed by this Court, the parties have appeared before the learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st

Class, Jalandhar and as per the report dated 27.01.2026 submitted to this Court, both the parties have got recorded their respective statements in Court.

A perusal of the aforesaid report would show that the parties have effected a genuine compromise without there being any pressure, coercion or undue influence. In view of the compromise there is a remote possibility of the complainant coming forward to support the prosecution case. The powers under Section 482 Cr.PC can be exercised in such like situation in order to prevent unnecessary vagaries of criminal trial to be faced by the parties, when there are remote chances of conviction of the accused. The compromise in question is found to be fully in consonance with the direction issued by the Court in “*Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052* and *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr., 2012(4) RCR (Crl.) 543*”.

In view of the aforesaid report of the learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Jalandhar accompanied by statements of both the parties, the FIR No.56 dated 01.04.2022 registered under Sections 427, 447, 511 IPC (Section 120-B IPC added later on) at Police Station Division No.5 at Police Station Commissionerate, Jalandhar along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioners.

Petition stands disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

23.02.2026
JITESH

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No
Whether reportable:- Yes/No