



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

221

CRM-M-59990-2025
Date of Decision : 03.02.2026

SUNIL KUMAR

...Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE AARADHNA SAWHNEY

Present: Mr. Amandeep Chhabra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gautam Thapar, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

AARADHNA SAWHNEY, J. (ORAL)

1. This petition for grant of anticipatory bail has been filed by petitioner, a co-accused in case bearing FIR No.226 dated 25.09.2025, registered against him at Police Station City-I, Abohar, District Fazilka, u/s 331(6)/115(2)/351(3)/191(3)/190 of BNS.
2. Learned State counsel, on instructions from HC Harsh Kumar, states that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not needed for further investigation.
3. On 28.10.2025, following order was passed.

“Petitioner, an accused in case FIR No.226 dated 25.09.2025 registered against him, for commission of offences punishable under Sections 331(6)/115(2)/351(3)/191(3)/190 of BNS, at Police Station City-I, Abohar, District Fazilka, has prayed for grant of pre-arrest bail.



Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner and his sons were falsely implicated in the present case. The fact that FIR was lodged after an unexplained delay of 5 days, is itself suggestive of false implication. Continuing further, learned counsel submits that in fact the dispute pertains to a plot in question, which petitioner had purchased from complainant vide agreement to sell dated 27.03.2019. Since then petitioner has been in possession of the said plot, however, complainant party were on the look out of an opportunity to dispossess him from the said plot. With a view to amicably resolve the dispute, a panchayat was convened between the parties on 22.09.2025, in which brother of the complainant expressed remorse. Surprisingly, thereafter, in complete violation of the undertaking given by the brother of the complainant, the FIR was registered on 25.09.2025. It is further the submission of learned counsel that on 14.09.2025, petitioner was assaulted by the brother of the complainant. A complaint in this regard was lodged to the Police Station City Abohar, but no action was initiated. Thus, the crux of submission raised by learned counsel for the petitioner is that the complainant and his family members are well connected and influential persons, who have misused the process of law. Reference has also been made to the CCTV footage of the incident that had occurred on 18.09.2025. It is also the submission of learned counsel that even if the allegations as leveled in the complaint are taken to be true at its face value, complainant and his family members only suffered simple injuries at the hands of petitioner and other accused. Further, petitioner is willing to join the investigation as and when called for by the IO.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Kamalpreet Bawa, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of State of Punjab and seeks time to file detailed status report.

Adjourned to 01.12.2025.

Needful be done well before the date fixed with an advance copy to the counsel opposite.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation as and when required to do so by the Investigating



Agency. In the event of his arrest, the petitioner shall be released on ad-interim bail, subject to his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer. The petitioner shall also be abide by all the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.).”

4. Keeping in view the fact that petitioner has joined the investigation, interim bail granted vide order dated 28.10.2025 is hereby confirmed, subject to conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) BNSS. Further the petitioner is directed to join investigation as and when required in future by way of written notice for such purpose to be served by Investigating Officer of this case upon the petitioner; he will not tamper with the evidence nor will influence the witnesses and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.

5. The petition stands allowed.

6. Pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(AARADHNA SAWHNEY)
JUDGE

03.02.2026
Nisha Yadav

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No