

2026.PHHC.040490



CRM-M-61117-2025

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

140

CRM-M-61117-2025 (O & M)

Date of decision: 16.03.2026

KAVITA

....Petitioner

Versus

CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Sandeep Saini, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ravi Kamal Gupta, Advocate, for the respondent-CBI.

Mr. P.S.Ahluwalia, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Keerat Dhillon, Advocate, for the complainant.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023, for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in FIR No.RC-0512023S00012 & RC0512023S00013 dated 15.11.2023, registered under Sections 120-B/452/323/506/427/148/149/408/420/467/468/471 and 193 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station CBI, SCB, Chandigarh (a composite report u/s 173 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 has been presented by the investigating agency and common summoning order dated 29.03.2025 has been passed.

CRM-M-61117-2025

2. Learned Senior Counsel contends that the petitioner, who is an illiterate lady, has been in custody for 8 months and 9 days. She has no concern with either of the trusts and has been falsely implicated on account of certain unwanted documents having been produced at the instance of her counsel Vikas Kumar, which pertain to the financial record of the complainant, in the case of domestic violence. Charges have been framed on 29.03.2025, however, only 1 PW(complainant) stands partly examined, out of 145. She is not involved in any other case.

3. Learned counsel for the respondent-CBI and learned Senior Counsel for the complainant oppose the bail on the ground that the documents which have been got produced by Mr. Vikas Kumar, Advocate, pertain to the financials of the trust. However, they are unable to controvert the submissions that the petitioner is not involved in any other case.

4. Heard.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, in particular that the petitioner, a lady in custody for the last 8 months and 9 days; not involved in any other case; charges stand framed on 29.03.2025, but out of 145 PWs, only complainant has been partly examined; the trial is likely to take a considerable time, further incarceration of the petitioner would be violative of her right enshrined under Article 21 of the Constitution of India, the present petition is allowed.

6. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail, subject to furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial

CRM-M-61117-2025

Court/Duty Magistrate concerned, if not required in any other case and shall abide by the following conditions:-

- (i) The petitioner will not tamper with the evidence during the trial.
- (ii) The petitioner will not pressurize/ intimidate the prosecution witnesses.
- (iii) The petitioner will appear before the trial Court on each and every date fixed, unless is exempted by a specific order of Court.
- (iv) The petitioner shall not commit an offence similar to the offence of which, she is an accused, or for commission of which she is suspected of.
- (v) The petitioner shall not directly or indirectly coerce, induce, threaten or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence in any manner.
- (vi) The petitioner shall not in any manner misuse his liberty.
- (vii) The petitioner shall furnish her address and mobile number by way of an affidavit to the trial Court and not change the same till conclusion of trial and if for any reasons, she seeks to change either of the aforesaid, it shall be done only with prior information to the learned trial Court.
- (viii) The petitioner shall not leave the country without prior permission of the trial Court.
- (ix) The trial Court/Duty Magistrate may impose any other condition, as deemed appropriate while releasing the petitioner.

7. It is made abundantly clear that in case there is any breach of the aforesaid conditions, the State shall be at liberty to seek cancellation of bail as granted to the petitioner by this order.

8. In view of the above, it is clarified that the observations made herein above are limited for the purpose of present proceedings and

CRM-M-61117-2025

would not be construed as any opinion on the merits of the case and the trial would proceed independently of the aforesaid observations.

16.03.2026

parveen kumar

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No