



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

109

CRM-M-60476-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision: 10.03.2026

AGREJ SINGH ALIAS ANGREJ SINGH

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Bhupinder Ghai, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Manipal Singh Atwal, DAG, Punjab.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 BNSS, 2023, for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.209, dated 12.07.2025, under Sections 109, 115(2), 191(3), 190 BNS and Sections 25, 27, 54, 59 of Arms Act, registered at Police Station Gharinda, District Amritsar Rural.

2. On 23.02.2026, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel inter alia contends that neither any overt act nor any injury has been attributed to the petitioner, he is sought to be implicated in the present case, being the father of main accused, who had allegedly fired. He is not involved in any other case; ready and willing to join the investigation as and when required by the investigating agency and will cooperate.

Adjourned to 10.03.2026.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation on or before 26.02.2026. In the event of his arrest, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, subject to compliance of conditions as enshrined under Section 482(2) BNSS.

However, it is clarified that if the petitioner does not join and cooperate with the Investigating Agency as required by the Arresting/Investigating Officer, this interim order shall be deemed to have been vacated.

Photocopy of this order be placed on the connected file(s).”

3. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the afore-mentioned order, the petitioner has not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case the investigating

agency requires the petitioner to appear, he shall make himself available without demur.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions from the Investigation Officer affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioner and cooperating with the investigating agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 23.02.2026 granting interim bail to him, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

6. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to him.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

10.03.2026

ashok

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No