



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

118

CRM-M-60463-2025
Decided on : 05.03.2026

Karandeep Singh alias Karan . . . Petitioner(s)
Versus
State of Punjab . . . Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: Mr. J.S. Bains, Advocate and
Mr. P.K. Singh Bains, Advocate, for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. Instant petition has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 439 Cr.P.C.), for grant of regular bail to the petitioner, during the pendency of trial, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed here-under:-

Name of Petitioner(s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
Karandeep Singh alias Karan	16	22.02.2025	307, 324(2), 190, 191(3), 238 of BNS, 2023	Raja Sansi	Amritsar Rural

2. FIR in present case was registered at instance of complainant Gurnoor Singh s/o Rajbir Singh, r/o Moolnangal, stating that when he along with his friend Sahil was present at bus-stand for purchasing eggs, accused persons, namely (i) Karan (petitioner herein), (ii) Goda, (iii) Labu, (iv) Kaka, all residents of same village, along with 2–3 other unknown young men reached at spot and after giving beatings snatched an amount of Rs.80,000/- and also their motorcycle.

3. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that false case has been registered against petitioner and other co-accused. It is argued that complainant as well as accused persons, including petitioner, are residents of same village and due to village rivalry and politics, petitioner has been falsely implicated in present case.



It is further submitted that investigation in case already stands completed and challan has been presented. Therefore, any further incarceration of petitioner would not serve any useful purpose. Prayer has thus been made for grant of regular bail to petitioner.

4. On other hand, learned State counsel has filed status report dated 04.03.2026 in Court today, which is taken on record. Office is directed to tag same at appropriate place. Copy thereof has been supplied to counsel for petitioner.

5. Learned State counsel, while opposing prayer for bail, submits that challan in case was presented on 13.10.2025 along with list of 16 prosecution witnesses. Thereafter, charges were framed on 31.01.2026, however, none of prosecution witnesses has been examined so far. He, however, fairly concedes other factual assertions as noticed hereinabove.

6. Heard.

7. On being asked by Court, learned State counsel submits that no other case of similar nature has been found registered against petitioner. Thus, it would be a matter of trial to determine whether incident, as narrated in FIR, actually took place in manner alleged or there was some other reason for registration of present FIR, particularly when both sides belong to same village.

8. It is also noticeable that petitioner is not shown to be involved in any other case of similar nature and is a young person of about 21 years of age. Besides, none of prosecution witnesses has been examined so far and chances of early conclusion of trial appear to be bleak.

Thus, keeping in view totality of circumstances and without commenting upon merits of case lest it may prejudice either side during trial, this Court deems it appropriate to grant concession of regular bail to petitioner.

Consequently, prayer made in the present petition is **allowed**. Petitioner is ordered to be released on bail, subject to his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/ Chief Judicial Magistrate/Illaq Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned, if not required in any other case.



9. Needless to observe that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witness in any manner directly or indirectly.

10. Any of the discussion done and recorded here-above, shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the facts of the case. Therefore, trial Court is expected to decide the case by taking an independent view, on the basis of evidence available on record, as expeditiously as possible in accordance with law.

11. Petition stands **disposed of**.

Pending misc. application(s), if any, also stand(s) disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

March 05, 2026

J.Ram

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No