



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

234

CRM-M-60055-2025

Date of decision : 12.01.2026

ANMOL

..... PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

..... RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA PARTAP SINGH

Present : Mr. Kamal Narula, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rohit Bansal, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

SURYA PARTAP SINGH. J.(Oral)

1. This is a petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita 2023 (hereinafter referred to as 'BNSS'), for anticipatory bail in a case arising out of FIR No.240 dated 12.09.2025 under Sections 21/29/61/85 of NDPS, Police Station Samrala, District Ludhiana.

2. Reply has been filed by learned State counsel. The same be taken on record.

3. It has been submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that in compliance with order dated 29.10.2025, whereby the benefit of interim anticipatory bail was afforded to the petitioner, the petitioner has joined investigation and that nothing is left to be recovered from the petitioner.

4. The learned State counsel has controverted the above-mentioned stand. According to learned State counsel, the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is required.
5. Heard.
6. Keeping in view of the fact that nothing has been elaborated for what purpose the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is required, and that otherwise also the petitioner has joined investigation. The order dated 29.10.2025, whereby the benefit of interim bail was accorded to the petitioner, is hereby made absolute.
7. The present petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(SURYA PARTAP SINGH)
JUDGE

12.01.2026
vipin

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether Reportable : No