



107

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-60960-2025
DECIDED ON: 10.03.2026**

ARWINDER PAL SINGH**.....PETITIONER**

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS**.....RESPONDENTS****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH.**

Present: Mr. Dinesh Kumar, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J (ORAL)

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 482(3) BNSS 2023 for cancellation of Anticipatory Bail granted to Respondent Nos.2 and 3, vide orders dated 15.09.2025 and 26.09.2025 (Annexures P/2 & P/3) passed by Ld. Additional Sessions Judge, Sri Muktsar Sahib in case FIR No.0135 dated 09.08.2025 under Sections 115 (2), 118 (1), 3 (5) of The Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS), 2023 and Section 118 (2) of BNS added vide DDR No.025 dated 14.09.2025 (Annexure P/4) registered at P.S. City Malout District Sri Muktsar Sahib.

2. The sole ground addressed by learned counsel for the petitioner is that injury suffered by the complainant (petitioner herein) has been declared grievous and, therefore, anticipatory bail ought not to have been granted to the accused persons (respondent Nos.2 and 3 herein).

3. This Court has perused both the impugned orders dated 15.09.2025 and 26.09.2025.

4. While perusing the impugned order dated 26.09.2025, it is evident that learned trial Court has already dealt with the factual aspects as well as the nature of the injury suffered by the complainant, which was declared grievous.

While passing the said order, ground given by learned trial Court for granting anticipatory bail to accused-Harpreet Singh alias Mangi is that the injury inflicted with *kappa* on the left arm of the complainant (petitioner herein), though declared grievous, but was on a non-vital part of the body. The other injuries suffered by the complainant were declared to be simple in nature.

5. The Court applied its judicial discretion by noticing that the injury was caused on a non-vital part of the body and all other injuries were simple in nature. It was further observed that genuineness of the allegations is yet to be determined and, in the circumstances then prevailing, concession of anticipatory bail was granted to the accused/respondent Nos.2 and 3.

6. This Court does not find any illegality or perversity in the impugned orders dated 15.09.2025 and 26.09.2025 passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Sri Muktsar Sahib. **Hence, present petition is dismissed.**

10.03.2026
Lavisha

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>