



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(285)

CRM-M-60140-2025

DATE OF DECISION: 11.02.2026

Amarjeet

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present Mr.Sushil Sheoran, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Surender Singh Pannu, Addl. AG, Haryana.
Ms. Shweta Beniwal, Advocate, for respondent no.2.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J (ORAL)

1. Prayer in this petition is for quashing of FIR No.72 dated 05.04.2021, under Sections 509, 506 and 294 IPC, registered at Police Station Dadri City, District Charkhi Dadri (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 10.09.2025 (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties.
2. The above stated FIR was registered on the statement of the complainant/respondent No.2- Shveta.
3. On notice of motion, respondent No.2 appeared in the Court through her counsel and pleaded that she has no objection if the FIR in this case is quashed on the basis of the aforesaid compromise, which has been effected between the parties.
4. During the course of preliminary hearing, the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate was directed to record the statements of the all the concerned parties with regard to genuineness and validity or otherwise of the aforesaid compromise.



CRM-M-60140-2025

2

5. In compliance thereof, report from the Court of learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Charkhi Dadri along with statements of the parties has been received, in which, it is mentioned that the compromise is genuine and there was no undue influence or coercion from any side.

6. This Court has heard learned counsel for the parties.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioner and for respondent No.2 are *ad idem* that in view of the settlement effected between the parties, the present petition deserves to be accepted. It has also come on record that the aforesaid compromise is genuine and the parties effected the same without any undue influence or coercion.

8. In view of above, nothing remains to be adjudicated further in the present case. Thus, continuation of the criminal proceedings between the parties would be a futile exercise and sheer wastage of time of the Court and thus, amounts to abuse of process of law.

9. For the reasons afore-stated and having regard to the law laid down by Hon'ble Apex Court in ***Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another, 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543*** and Five Judges Bench of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052***, also, keeping in view the stage of the proceedings before the learned trial Court, wherein all the prosecution witnesses have already been examined and the case is now fixed for 06.03.2026 for recording the statement of the accused under Section 313 Cr.P.C., and considering that considerable judicial time has been devoted to the matter, this petition is allowed and FIR No.72 dated 05.04.2021, under Sections 509, 506 and 294 IPC, registered at Police Station Dadi City, District



CRM-M-60140-2025 3

the petitioner, subject to payment of Rs.10,000/- as costs, to be deposited with Indian Red Cross Society having account No.3578152035, Central Bank of India, Sector 17-B, Chandigarh, IFSC CBIN0280412.

10. Receipt regarding deposit of aforesaid cost be produced before the Court concerned. It is made clear that if cost is not deposited within a period of one month i.e. upto 11.03.2026 present petition shall be deemed to be dismissed.

11.02.2026 (SUBHAS MEHLA)
mamta JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No