



CRM-M-59873-2025 (O&M) 1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

208

CRM-M-59873-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision:14.01.2026

Gurpreet Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present: Mr. Rajeev K. Kapila, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Manipal Singh Atwal, DAG, Punjab.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 for grant of concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.101 dated 04.10.2025, under Sections 82(1), 318(4), 336(2), 336(3), 337, 340(2) and 61(2) BNS, 2023 (corresponding to Sections 494, 420, 465, 468, 466,471 and 120-B IPC), registered at Police Station Garhdiwala, District Hoshiarpur.

2. On 04.11.2025, this Court had passed the following order:-

“The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the fake marriage certificate alleged to be prepared by the petitioner to show him to be married with Lovedeep has not been used anywhere.

Adjourned to 14.01.2026.

In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to appear before the SHO/Investigating Officer to join investigation and in the



CRM-M-59873-2025 (O&M) 2

event of his arrest, he shall be released on bail on his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of SHO/Investigating Agency, subject to the following conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) BNSS:-

- (i) that the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation before the investigating officer as and when required;
- (ii) that the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;
- (iii) that the petitioner shall not leave the country without prior permission of the Court and shall surrender his passport, if any.

To be heard with CRM-M- 59977-2025.”

3. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the aforementioned order, the petitioner has joined investigation. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, he shall make himself available without demur.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 04.11.2025 granting interim bail to him, is hereby made



CRM-M-59873-2025 (O&M) 3

absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. (now 482(2) of BNSS, 2023)

6. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to him.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

January 14, 2026
dinesh

Whether speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No