



CRM-M-59895-2025

2

Hargobindpur Police District Batala in the Court today and the same is taken on record. She further states that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for further custodial interrogation.

4. On the other hand, learned counsel for the complainant has vehemently opposed the prayer made by ld. counsel for the petitioner for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. In view of the statement made by learned State counsel, the petition is allowed and the interim order dated 24.10.2025 is **made absolute**. The petitioner shall continue to join investigation, as and when called by the Investigating Officer and shall also abide by the conditions as provided under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

6. However, it is clarified that the investigating authority on addition of an offence or offences may not proceed to arrest the accused/petitioner, but for arresting the accused/petitioner on such addition of offence or offences it needs to obtain an order to arrest the accused/petitioners from the Court which had granted the bail in view of the judgment passed by the Hon’ble Supreme Court in *Sumit Vs State of U.P. and Another*, Criminal Appeal No. 830 of 2026, decided on 09.02.2026.

7. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

20.03.2026
renu

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No