



**In the High Court for the States of Punjab and Haryana at
Chandigarh**

232

CRM-M-66123-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision:- 16.02.2026

Harpreet Singh @ Happy	... Petitioner
Versus	
State of Punjab	... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present:- Mr. Sukhbir Maandi, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Subhash Godara, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J. (Oral)

1. By way of the present petition, the petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No.81 dated 06.07.2025, registered under Sections 333, 115(2), 118(1), 324(4), 324(5), 303(2), 3(5) of BNS, 2023 and Sections 25/27 of Arms Act, 1959 (Section 118(2) of BNS was added later on vide DDR No.19 dated 18.09.2025) at Police Station Majitha, District Amritsar (Rural).
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. The petitioner has been in custody since 06.07.2025; the investigation has already been completed; challan stands presented; and co-accused, namely Harpreet Singh @ Har, and Jaskaranbir Singh @ Jaskarandeep Singh @ Jassa have already been granted regular bail by this Court vide orders dated 28.10.2025 and 29.11.2025 passed in CRM-M-58252-2025 and CRM-M-59003-2025. Hence, prayed for concession of bail to the petitioner as trial will take sufficient time to conclude.



3. Learned State counsel opposed the prayer of learned counsel for the petitioner by submitting that the petitioner along with co-accused caused grievous injuries to the complainant. However, it is not disputed that above named co-accused have already been granted bail by this Court.

4. Heard.

5. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case, as the investigation of the case has already been completed; the petitioner has been in custody since 06.07.2025, co-accused namely Harpreet Singh @ Har, and Jaskaranbir Singh @ Jaskarandeep Singh @ Jassa have already been granted regular bail by this Court as mentioned above; trial will take sufficient time to conclude, and no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping them in custody for any further period, as concession of bail cannot be denied just as a measure of punishment and it is a trite principle of criminal jurisprudence that bail is rule, jail is an exception, this Court deems it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

6. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is **allowed**. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

16.02.2026
Geeta

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking /reasoned	Yes / No
Whether Reportable	Yes / No