

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

2026:PHHC:032144



129

CRM-M-59789-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision:26.02.2026

Punit Tyagi

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present: Mr. Arpandeeep Narula, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Poswal, AAG, Haryana.

...

Manisha Batra, J. (Oral).

1. The instant petition has been filed by the petitioner seeking benefit of regular bail in case arising out of FIR No.2392, dated 13.12.2019, registered under Sections 306, 419, 420, 467, 468, 471, 201, 120-B IPC, at Police Station Shivaji Nagar, District Gurugram.

2. As per the allegations on 12.12.2019, on receipt of information regarding death of one Deep Chand due to consumption of some poisonous substance and his dead body lying at the hospital, a police party had reached there. The complainant – Om Parkash Khola, who was brother-in-law of the deceased submitted a complaint alleging that on the same day at about 04:00 P.M., he had received a message from the victim on his mobile that he had consumed poison. He had rushed towards the house of the victim and had

found him lying on bed and vomiting. He was rushed to the hospital but had died. He recorded that Deep Chand used to invest money in some 'chit fund companies' but his money was not returned.

3. After registration of the FIR, investigation proceedings were initiated. Inquest proceedings and post mortem examination of the dead body of the victim were conducted. A suicide note written by the deceased and his mobile phone were taken into custody. It transpired that the victim had invested a some of more than Rs.1,08,00,000/- in a chit fund company. Accused Khan Kharik Badar was joined into investigation as detail of his phone number were mentioned in the suicide note. He was interrogated and suffered disclosure statement to the effect that he in connivance with accused Amit Tyagi @ Mukesh Tyagi @ Monu Tyagi and Naseem Khan had hatched a conspiracy to extract money from public persons by inducing them on the premise of doing business of fruits. They had hired a shop and got registered a firm in the name of 'IBR and Services', which was a fake and bogus firm. An account was also opened. They used to call innocent persons and extract money from them. Victim Deep Chand was induced by Naseem Khan and Mukesh Tyagi to invest an amount of Rs.30 lakhs in the account of the firm, so opened. Thereafter, they had started asking the victim to give money to them and feeling frustrated, the victim had ended his life.

4. On the disclosure of accused Khan Kharik Badar, the above named Naseem Khan and Amit Tyagi @ Mukesh Tyagi @ Monu Tyagi were nominated as accused. They were arrested on 21.10.2022. Some other persons were also nominated as accused subsequently. Accused Gaurav Tyagi was also nominated and apprehended on 24.08.2024. He suffered

disclosure statement to the effect that he along with the petitioner and co-accused Amit Kumar had hatched a conspiracy to dupe public persons of their money on the pretext of issuing insurance policies. The victim Deep Chand was allured by them on the premise of fetching huge profits on the insurance policy to be taken from them and on that pretext, they had extracted a sum of more than Rs.1 cores from him. Accused Gaurav Tyagi disclosed that he used to make calls to the victim from particular mobile numbers by impersonating as Anoo Arora. Accused Pravesh Pathak also joined them. On the basis of disclosure statement of accused Gaurav Tyagi, petitioner was nominated as accused and suffered similar statement. He was arrested on 19.06.2025. The petitioner also used to make calls to the victim by impersonating him as 'Tiwari'. The petitioner also identified his voice recorded in the mobile of the victim and also the fact that he had received a sum of Rs.60-70 thousand as his share from the money taken from the victim. Investigation now stands concluded.

5. It is argued by learned counsel for the petitioner that he has been falsely implicated in this case. He was not named in the FIR. He is in custody since long. The trial will take considerable time to conclude. No recovery has been effected from him. Co-accused Khan Kharik Badar and Naseem Khan have been extended benefit of bail. Neither the ingredients for commission of offence of abetment to suicide nor for commission of offences of cheating or forgery are attracted qua him. His further incarceration would not serve any useful purpose. His antecedents are clean. All other co-accused have been extended benefit of bail. He too deserves to be extended the same benefit. It is, therefore, argued that he deserves to be

released on bail.

6. Per contra, learned State counsel has argued that the allegations against the petitioner are serious in nature. The victim in his suicide note has mentioned certain cell phone numbers holding the users of those cell numbers to be responsible for his death. One of the said mobile number was used by the present petitioner for inducing the victim to transfer money to him and to other accused persons. The petitioner played an active role in commission of subject offences. He had impersonated himself as 'Tiwari' to contact the deceased and induced him to make payments to the bank account provided by him and co-accused. The victim in his detailed suicide note had mentioned that he had been compelled to commit suicide by the persons, who had been calling him through some particular phone numbers and had given details thereof. The allegations *prima facie* show the complicity of the petitioner in the commission of offences of cheating and forgery. Though, it is a matter of trial as to whether the ingredients of Section 306 IPC are attracted qua him or not. The trial has commenced. There is nothing on record to suggest that there would be any undue delay in conclusion of the trial. The petitioner cannot claim parity with the co-accused and had been extended benefit of bail after long incarceration. Taking into consideration, the above discussed facts, this Court is of the considered opinion that the petitioner does not deserve to be given the benefit of bail at this stage. Accordingly, the petition is dismissed.

7. It is clarified that any observation made in this order is only for deciding this petition and shall not influence the outcome of the trial and also not be taken as an expression of opinion on merits.

8. Since the main petition has been dismissed, pending application, if any, is rendered infructuous.

26.02.2026

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

harjeet

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No