



CRM-M-59667-2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

145

CRM-M-59667-2025
Decided on : 17.03.2026

Sagar @ Saka

. . . Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

. . . Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present : Mr. G.C. Shahpuri, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Pawan Kumar Jhanda, Sr. DAG Haryana

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 439 Cr.P.C.), for grant of regular bail to the petitioner, during the pendency of trial, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

Name of Petitioner(s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
Sagar alias Saka, aged 24 years	570	14.10.2024	109(1), 351(2), 238 of BNS and Section 25 of Arms Act	City Jagadhri	Yamuna Nagar

2. As per allegations, on the day of incident i.e. 13.10.2024 at around 9.00 p.m., petitioner had made a mobile call to injured Gaurav on his birthday to come out of the house. When Gaurav came out of the house, a shot was fired by accused Jainik, who is facing proceedings separately being juvenile. Further argues that infact it was single fire shot, that too on the right arm of the injured,



CRM-M-59667-2025

which is non-vital part, the petitioner can not be held responsible equally as far as the consideration of plea of bail is concerned. There being no other active role except of giving call to the injured to come outside, petitioner is lying inside jail since 14.10.2025 i.e. for the last more than 05 and not involvement in any other case. Thus, prays for grant of bail to the petitioner.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel informs that out of total 14 prosecution witnesses, only 05 have been examined and there is not dispute that the petitioner is inside jail for the last more than a period of five months.

4. This Court has heard the submissions addressed by learned counsel for the parties and has carefully perused the record available before it.

5. Taking into consideration that the trial is not progressing at a required pace and no other role is assigned to the petitioner, except of calling the injured outside the house, this Court does not find any substantial reason to continue the petitioners in detention any longer.

6. Consequently, prayer made in the present petition is allowed. Petitioner is ordered to be released on bail, subject to his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/ Chief Judicial Magistrate/ Illaqa Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned, if not required in any other case.

7. Needless to observe that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witness in any manner directly or indirectly.

8. The observation made here-in-above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the facts of the case and the Trial Court is expected to decide the case on the basis of complete evidence available on record.

9. It is further made clear that if, in future, petitioner is directly found indulged in similar kind of activities, this order shall be deemed to be cancelled.



CRM-M-59667-2025

10. Petition stands disposed of.

 Pending misc. application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

March 17, 2026

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

reena

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No