



CRM-M-59621-2025 (O&M)

1

**140 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-59621-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 11.03.2026

RAHUL KUMAR

... PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

... RESPONDENT

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Sourabh Sheoran, Advocate for the petitioner.
Dr. Malvika Singh, D.A.G., Haryana.
Mr. Shreenath A. Khemka, Advocate for the complainant.

H.S. GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking regular bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS) in FIR No.59 dated 12.04.2025, under Sections 115, 190, 191(3), 333, 351(2) of BNS (Sections 115(2), 117(2), 331(7), 61(2) of BNS added later on) registered at Police Station Nangal Choudhary, District Mahendergarh.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 12.04.2025, one Rohit along with his 4-5 accomplices entered the house of the complainant, having lathi in their hands, assaulted the mother of the complainant and inflicted grievous injuries on her legs.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has been implicated falsely in the present case. The petitioner has not been named in the FIR and has been nominated on the basis of the disclosure statement made by the co-accused. Even the complainant stated in his deposition that all the accused, except Rohit, had muffled faces. The petitioner is in custody for the last 08 months and 26 days.

4. Learned State counsel vehemently opposes the prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioner on the ground of gravity of allegations. Learned

State counsel has filed the custody certificate in Court, which is taken on record.

As per the custody certificate, the petitioner is in custody for the last 08 months and 26 days. She further submits that out of total 20 prosecution witnesses, 6 have been examined so far and the petitioner is involved in one more case.

5. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

6. After hearing the rival contentions of the learned counsel for the parties and keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case that the petitioner has been nominated on the basis of the disclosure statement made by the co-accused; although he is involved in another case under Sections 323 and 506 IPC but he is on bail in that case; the petitioner is in custody for the last 08 months and 26 days; out of total 20 prosecution witnesses, only 6 have been examined so far; the conclusion of trial is likely to take time and continuous detention of the petitioner would not serve the ends of justice, this Court deems it fit to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner during the pendency of the trial.

7. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bonds, surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

8. It is clarified that while on bail so granted through the instant order the petitioner is found indulging in any other criminal case, it shall be open to the State to seek cancellation of his bail.

11.03.2026

Janki

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No