



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

217

COCP-5399-2025

Date of decision: 12.01.2026

Navdeep Singh and others

...Petitioners

V/s

Varun Roojam and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Mohit Jaggi, Advocate, for the petitioners.
(through video conference)

Ms. Shiny Chopra, AAG, Punjab.

VIKRAM AGGARWAL, J (ORAL)

The instant petition preferred under Sections 11 and 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 alleges violation of order dated 17.02.2025 (Annexure P-1) passed in CWP-7723-2024, vide which following directions were issued:-

“3. The aforesaid facts having been brought out, during the course of hearing, learned State counsel, on instructions, states that the respondents would not be averse to have a relook at the matter and decided afresh, taking note of the aforesaid judgment, within a period of 6 months, which this Court has no reason to believe the authorities would not address in a just, fair and reasonable manner.

2. The aforesaid satisfies the learned counsel for the petitioners.

3. The matter stands disposed of accordingly and if the petitioners are found entitled, grant the benefit forthwith. Needless to say, if the orders are adverse to their interest, the same may be passed after

granting opportunity of hearing to them and shall contain reasons, whereupon they shall be free to seek legal redress thereupon.”

2. At the outset, learned State counsel has filed a compliance report by way of affidavit of Sh. Rajiv Kumar Gupta, Director State Transport, Punjab on behalf of respondent No.2 in Court today, which is taken on record. A copy thereof has been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioners. As per the same, speaking order dated 11.12.2025 has been passed.

3. That being so, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that he does not press the present contempt petition as no grievance subsists and the petitioners shall, if so advised, avail all such remedies as shall be admissible in law against the said order.

4. In view of the statement given by learned counsel for the petitioners, the present contempt petition is disposed of as not having been pressed. Rule stands discharged.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

January 12, 2026

vcgarg

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No