

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

2026.PHHC.003368



(102)

CRM-M-59168-2025
Decided on: 14.01.2026
Uploaded on: 14.01.2026

Iliyas Masih

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. G.S. Simble, Advocate for the petitioner (s).

Mr. Adhiraj Singh, AAG, Punjab.

Ms. Himani, Advocate for respondent No.2.

Sumeet Goel (Oral):

1. Apprehending his arrest in FIR No.141 dated 19.09.2025 registered for offences punishable under Section 318(4) of BNS 2023 at Police Station Dhariwal, District Gurdaspur; the petitioner has preferred this petition under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking pre-arrest bail.

2. On 28.10.2025, the following order was passed:

“Notice of motion.

On the strength of advance copy; Mr. Amit Kumar Goyal, Addl. AG, Punjab has caused appearance on behalf of respondent No.1-State.

At this stage; Mr. Rajesh Kapila, Advocate has caused appearance on behalf of respondent No.2.

Learned counsel for the private rival parties are ad idem that there may be chances of amicable settlement. A joint request has, thus, been made to refer the matter to the Mediation & Conciliation Centre of this Court.

*In the interest of justice, the private rival parties (through their learned counsel) are directed to appear before the Mediation Centre of this Court on 31.10.2025 under “**Special Mediation Drive-Mediation ‘For the Nation’**”.*

To create an amiable atmosphere for mediation and in view of the consent accorded by learned counsel for respondent No.2, the petitioner is afforded concession of ad-interim anticipatory bail.

The petitioner is directed to appear before the Investigating Officer on 03.11.2025 at 11:00 A.M. in concerned Police Station and join investigation. In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal/surety bond(s) to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. As and when further called by Investigating Officer, the petitioner shall join the investigation. He shall abide by the condition(s) enumerated under Section 482(2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

For awaiting report of the Mediator, list on 27.11.2025.”

3. Thereafter, on 27.11.2025, the following order was passed:

“Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that pursuant to the settlement/compromise entered into between the parties on 21.11.2025, certain differences have cropped up.

Learned counsel for the parties submit that matter may be referred to Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court once again to explore the possibility of amicable settlement.

Ordered accordingly.

In view of above, parties (through their respective counsel) are directed to appear before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court on 12.12.2025. Parties are

directed to remain present before the said Forum on the said date or any other date fixed thereafter.

Learned counsel for the parties undertake to assist the said Forum during the proceedings.

Let the matter be listed again before this Court on 22.12.2025.

Interim order to continue.

To be taken up in the urgent cause list.”

4. Learned counsel for the rival private parties are *ad idem* that the matter has been amicably settled between the parties and terms thereof have been reduced into writing vide compromise/settlement dated 21.11.2025.

5. In view thereof, the petition is allowed and the order dated 28.10.2025 granting anticipatory bail to the petitioner is hereby made absolute, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) of BNSS. The rival parties shall abide by the terms of the said compromise/settlement. In case of breach thereof, either party is at liberty to seek recall of the instant order.

6. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

7. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS or upon showing any other sufficient cause.

8. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not
be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.
9. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

14.01.2026
Naveen

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether Reportable :	Yes/No