



CRM-M-60876-2024 (O&M) 1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

101

CRM-M-60876-2024 (O&M)
Decided on: 21.01.2026

SAJJAN AND ANR

.....Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Kartik, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. B.S. Beniwal, Advocate, and
Ms. Suman Beniwal, Advocate,
for the complainant.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.**CRM-40079-2025**

1. Present application has been moved by the applicant/complainant for placing on record transcribed call record between Mahabir, Sajjan, Ramswaroop and Pardeep as Annexure A-1 and pen drive having call recording of the same as Annexure A-2.

2. Considering the averments mentioned in the application, prayer made in the application is allowed and transcribed call record between Mahabir, Sajjan, Ramswaroop and Pardeep as Annexure A-1 and pen drive having call recording of the same as Annexure A-2, are ordered to be taken on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at the appropriate place on the file.

3. CM stands disposed of.

CRM-M-60876-2024

1. Present petition has been filed by the petitioners, seeking grant of anticipatory bail, in case, FIR No.271, dated 01.08.2024, under Sections 406, 420, 506 and 34 of IPC, registered at Police Station Hansi Sadar, District Hisar.



2. On 03.11.2025, following order was passed:-

“1. Present petition has been filed by the petitioners, seeking grant of anticipatory bail, in case, FIR No.271, dated 01.08.2024, under Sections 406, 420, 506 and 34 of IPC, registered at Police Station Hansi Sadar, District Hisar.

2. Allegations against the petitioners are that complainant, Mahabir, had paid an amount of Rs.13 lakhs to the petitioner (Rs. 10 lakhs in cash and Rs.3 lakhs in the petitioner's bank account) for the purpose of deposing in favour of the accused persons, who are family members of the complainant in the present case.

3. Counsel for the petitioners contends that these allegations are false. He submits that no such settlement took place, nor any document supporting such a claim has been handed over to the investigating officer by the complainant. Moreover, petitioners are ready to join the investigation and fully cooperate, if protected from arrest by this Court. Thus, prays for grant of concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in the present case.

4. In view of the circumstances, and considering that the issues involved in the present case are likely to be established by the witnesses during the course of the trial, petitioners are investigation and cooperate with the Investigating Agency whenever required. In the event of their arrest, the petitioners shall be released on ad-interim bail, subject to their furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by all the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.).

8. Besides, it is directed that petitioners would hand over their passport to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if they possess. Otherwise, would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that they do not possess any passport.

It is also directed that before leaving country any time during trial, petitioner would seek prior permission of the Court.

9. List again on 21.01.2026.”

3. Continuing his submissions, learned counsel for the petitioners contends that in compliance of the order dated 03.11.2025, passed by this Court, petitioners have joined the investigation, and fully

**CRM-M-60876-2024 (O&M)****3**

co-operated. Therefore, he prays for confirmation of the said interim anticipatory bail order.

4. Learned State counsel has filed status report dated 20.01.2026 in the Court today and the same is taken on record.

Learned State counsel on instructions, confirms the said averment made by counsel for the petitioner of joining the investigation by the petitioners, and submits that as of now, custodial interrogation of the petitioners is not required for the purpose of investigation.

5. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

6. Since, petitioners have already joined the investigation and custodial interrogation is no more required; ad-interim bail order dated 03.11.2025, passed by this Court is hereby made absolute. Accordingly, present petition is allowed.

However, petitioners shall continue to join the investigation as and when required to do so and abide by all the terms and conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

7. Accordingly, petition stands disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

21.01.2026

Lavisha

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: **YES/NO**
Whether Reportable: **YES/NO**