



116 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-39535-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 02.04.2026

Parampal Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and Others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Siddharth Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Aman Dhir, DAG, Punjab.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of order dated 05.11.2024 whereby respondent No.3 was granted compassionate appointment as Sub Inspector (SI) in Punjab Police. She is further seeking direction to respondents to rescind all consequential benefits as a result of compassionate appointment.

2. The petitioner is mother of late Dilpreet Singh Shergill who passed away on 22.02.2024. She claims that despite pendency of divorce proceedings before learned Principal Judge, Family Court, Jalandhar, wife of the deceased-respondent No.3 vide order dated 05.11.2024 has been granted compassionate appointment as SI in Punjab Police. The learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Ludhiana vide order dated 10.07.2025 passed in civil suit for succession has restrained respondent No.3 from making claim upon assets and rights *qua* deceased. She

preferred a spate of representations to respondents not to grant benefit to respondent No.3 but to no avail.

3. This is third round of litigation. She on an earlier occasion approached this Court by way of **CWP-23520-2024** which was disposed of vide order dated 17.09.2024 with a direction to respondent to decide her representation. Deputy Director, Punjab Police Academy vide order dated 29.10.2024 ordered to distribute death-cum-retirement gratuity and *ex-gratia* in equal share amongst the petitioner, respondent No.3 and daughter of the petitioner. She again approached this Court by way of **CWP-1229-2025** assailing grant of gratuity and *ex-gratia* which was disposed of vide order dated 17.01.2025 in the light of pendency of civil suit as well partial release of gratuity and *ex-gratia*. The respondent No.2 has granted compassionate appointment to respondent No.3.

4. Learned State counsel, at the outset, submits that respondent No.3-Harkirat Kaur Dhillon was appointed as Assistant Sub Inspector (**ASI**) on compassionate ground. Post of ASI is a Group-C Post. It cannot be treated as Group-B Post. The grievance of petitioner is that respondent No.3 contrary to compassionate policy was granted Group-B Post.

5. Learned counsel representing the petitioner failed to controvert aforesaid statement of the State counsel.

6. ***Dismissed.***

7. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

02.04.2026
Prince Chawla

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No