



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

102

CRM-M-58889-2025

KULWINDER SINGH AND ANOTHER

...PETITIONERS

V/s

STATE OF PUNJAB

...RESPONDENT

Date of decision: 10.02.2026

Date of uploading: 10.02.2026

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. Sobhit Phutela, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Adhiraj Singh Thind, AAG, Punjab.

SUMEET GOEL, J.

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in case bearing FIR No. 156 dated 03.09.2025, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 115(2), 118(1), 126(2), 351(3), 190 and 191(3) of BNS, 2023 at Police Station Mullanpur, SAS Nagar, (Mohali).

2. On 17.10.2025, the following order was passed:-

“1. Prayer in this petition under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 is for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.156, dated 03.09.2025, registered at Police Station Mullanpur, SAS Nagar (Mohali) (Annexure P-1), under Sections 115(2), 118(1), 126(2), 351(3), 190 and 191(3) of BNS, 2023.

2. Notice of motion.

3. Mr. G.S. Dhaliwal, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. Both the parties have been heard and material on file has been perused.



4. As per allegation in the FIR, accused namely Arshdeep Singh alias Pala, Gagandeep Singh alias Choobi, Laddi, Ranveer Singh, Kulwinder Singh, Ketan, Kartik and three unknown persons intercepted complainant Sanju Kumar while he was going to a liquor shop. Arshdeep Singh alias Pala assaulted him with a knife which hit on his head and second blow was given by Gagandeep Singh alias Choobi with some sharp weapon like kirch on his back. Ketan gave a kirch blow on his chest and Kartik gave a blow on the back of his neck as a result of which he fell down. Thereafter, Arshdeep Singh alias Pala again caused injuries to him on his arm and remaining accused also caused injuries to him with fist and kick blows.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners contended that even as per allegations in the FIR, petitioners were not armed with any weapon and no specific injuries are attributed to them. The victim has suffered simple injuries. Nothing is to be recovered from the possession of petitioners. Petitioners are ready to join the investigation and to abide by the conditions that may be imposed by the Court and benefit of anticipatory bail be extended in their favour.

6. On the other hand, learned State counsel has opposed the bail and argued that petitioners have committed a heinous offence and he does not deserve concession of anticipatory bail.

7. Adjourned to 09.12.2025 for filing status report.

Meanwhile, the petitioners are directed to join the investigation and in the event of their arrest, they shall be admitted to ad-interim bail on furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer, subject to the following conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of the BNSS [erstwhile Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.]:-

- i) that the petitioners shall make themselves available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;
- ii) that the petitioners shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;
- iii) that the petitioners shall not leave India without the prior permission of the Court;
- iv) such other condition as may be imposed under sub-section (3) of Section 480, as if the bail were granted under that section."

3. Learned State counsel, on instructions, has stated that pursuant to the order dated 17.10.2025, the petitioners have joined investigation and are no longer required for custodial interrogation.

4. Keeping in view the factual milieu of the case in hand; especially the factum of the petitioners have joined investigation and cooperated therein and are no longer required by the State for further custodial interrogation, this Court is inclined to confirm the order dated 17.10.2025 granting anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) of BNSS.
5. Ordered accordingly.
6. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioners indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.
7. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioners violate any condition stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 or upon showing any other sufficient cause.
8. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.
9. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

10.02.2026
jatin

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No