



CRM-M-58722-2025

1

209

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-58722-2025

Date of Decision: 12.01.2026

UDHAM SINGH

..... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR

Present : Mr. Kamal Gupta, Advocate with
Mr. Sanish Girdhar, Advocate and
Ms. Sejal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramesh Kumar Ambavta, DAG, Haryana
assisted by ASI Satnam Singh.

YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in this petition under Section 482 of Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 is for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.0141, dated 05.06.2025, under Sections 61(2), 318 of BNS, 2023, Sections 4 and 13-A of Public Gambling Act, 1867, registered at Police Station City Tarn Taran, District Tarn Taran.

2. On 16.10.2025, the following order was passed:-

“1. Prayer in this petition under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 is for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.0141, dated 05.06.2025, under Sections 61(2), 318 of BNS, 2023, Sections 4 and 13-A of Public Gambling Act, 1867, registered at Police Station City Tarn Taran, District Tarn Taran.

2. Notice of motion.

3. Mr. G.S. Dhaliwal, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. The parties have been heard



and material on file has been perused.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that petitioner had applied for anticipatory bail before the Court of Sessions and the Court of Additional Sessions Judge, vide order dated 14.07.2025 had granted the benefit of ad-interim anticipatory bail and directed him to join the investigation. However, on account of mis-communication with the counsel, he could not join the investigation and on the adjourned date, the bail application was dismissed only on this ground. Learned counsel further contended that petitioner is ready to join the investigation and to abide by the conditions that may be imposed by the Court and benefit of anticipatory bail be extended in favour of the petitioner.

6. On the other hand, learned State counsel has opposed the bail and argued that petitioner had failed to abide by the conditions imposed by the trial Court and he is not entitled to be released on anticipatory bail.


*7. Adjourned to **08.12.2025** for filing status report. Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and in the event of his arrest, he shall be admitted to ad-interim bail on furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer, subject to the following conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of the BNSS [erstwhile Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.]:-*

i) that the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;

ii) that the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

iii) that the petitioner shall not leave India without the prior permission of the Court;

iv) such other condition as may be imposed under sub-section (3) of Section 480, as if the bail were granted



under that section. ”

3. Today, on instructions from ASI Satnam Singh, learned State counsel has informed this Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation, in compliance of the order dated 16.10.2025 and is no longer required for further investigation.

4. In view of the aforesaid, the order dated 16.10.2025, whereby the petitioner was granted interim anticipatory bail, is hereby made absolute. However, he shall continue to join investigation, if and so required by the Investigating Officer.

5. Disposed of.

6. Pending misc application (s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR)
JUDGE

12.01.2026
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No