



253

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-33321-2025 (O&M)**Date of Decision: 05.05.2026**

Anil Dhawan

...Petitioner

Versus

United India Insurance Company Limited and Others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSALPresent:- Mr. Rajesh Bansal, Advocate
for the petitioner.Mr. Vinod Chaudhri, Advocate
for respondents No.1 and 2.Mr. D.P. Gupta, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondent No.3 to decide his complaint dated 26.03.2025.

2. Mr. Vinod Chaudhri, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of respondents No.1 and 2 and filed his power of attorney. Registry is directed to tag the same at an appropriate place on the case file.

3. Learned counsel representing the petitioner submits that petitioner with respect to insurance policy No.1126003123C051052001 dated 27.09.2023 has filed an application before Insurance Ombudsman because there is dispute between the petitioner and respondents No.1 & 2.

The application is pending since 26.03.2025. The said Authority may be directed to decided his application in a time bound manner.

4. Learned counsel for the respondents do not oppose the aforesaid prayer.
5. In the wake of statements of both sides, the petition stands ***disposed of*** with a request to Insurance Ombudsman to adjudicate petitioner’s application, if still pending, within two months from today.
6. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)

JUDGE

05.05.2026

Prince Chawla

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No